

The
Saxon
Messenger

Edition 41 - 2015





Dear Reader

The Camp of the Saints Revisited

In light of the most recent European immigration drama, a good friend had brought up the topic of an old book, *The Camp of The Saints*, a 1973 French-language apocalyptic novel by one Jean Raspail. We have not read the novel ourselves, having both an ignorance of French and the observation that the only version available in English is a translation made by a Jew as barriers to so doing. However understanding the reference, and the fact that the book portrays the end of Europe and Western Civilization as a whole in a flood of savage immigrants, we understand that the book certainly was portentous. The title of the book is a reference to words that are found in Revelation chapter 20:

“And when the thousand years are expired, Satan shall be loosed out of his prison, 8 And shall go out to deceive the nations which are in the four quarters of the earth, Gog and Magog, to gather them together to battle: the number of whom *is* as the sand of the sea. 9 And they went up on the breadth of the earth, and compassed the camp of the saints about, and the beloved city: and fire came down from God out of heaven, and devoured them.”

Only a pious Christian would understand that the White nations formerly known as Christendom were indeed the *camp of the saints* referred to in the Revelation. Only a pious Christian who was also very understanding of history could understand that the Jews collectively are “Satan”, a Hebrew word that means *adversary*, since they have forever set themselves in opposition to Christ. In this light are they portrayed by Paul of Tarsus, who described them as those “Who both killed the Lord Jesus, and the prophets, and have persecuted us; and they please not God, and are contrary to all men” (1 Thessalonians 2:15).

Just as interesting is a [Southern Poverty Law Center response](#) to the Raspail book. In an undated article on its website, the SPLC quotes a description given by one publisher of the book where it says “The book is a racist fantasy about an invasion of France and the white Western world by a fleet of starving, dark-skinned refugees, 'a haunting and prophetic vision ... of Western Civilization overrun by a burgeoning Third World population.'” Then lamenting the growing popularity of the book, the SPLC adds: “The book characterizes non-whites as horrific and uncivilized 'monsters' who will stop at nothing to greedily and violently seize what rightfully belongs to the white man.”

However even the Gatestone Institute, a rather liberal New York think tank founded by Jews and hosting articles from liberal Jewish luminaries such as Alan Dershowitz, cannot ignore what the importation of tens of thousands of Africans has done for Sweden. They reported in an article entitled [Sweden: Rape Capital of the West](#) the following:

“Forty years after the Swedish parliament unanimously decided to change the formerly homogeneous Sweden into a multicultural country, violent crime has increased by 300% and rapes by 1,472%. Sweden is now number two on the list of rape countries, surpassed only by Lesotho in Southern Africa.... Sweden is now number two on the global list of rape countries. According to a [survey from 2010](#), Sweden, with 53.2 rapes per 100,000 inhabitants, is surpassed only by tiny Lesotho in Southern Africa, with 91.6 rapes per 100,000 inhabitants.”

It should be no surprise that the only people who can out-rape Africans in Sweden are Africans in Africa. Liberals delivered women from the patriarchy of White men to turn them over to the perversions of savage beasts. But many rapes of European, Canadian, Australian or American women by third-world immigrants are unreported, because the women are viewed as harming their own political sympathies if they do venture to report such instances. For example, [Breitbart.com recently reported](#) that a “No-Borders Activist” working as a volunteer in a camp on the French border with Italy was raped and reluctant to report the crime because “Colleagues are alleged to have said that reporting the crime would set back their struggle for a borderless world.” We can only wonder how many “No-Borders Activists” are in Israel as they build fences to keep the non-Jewish aliens out.

So it is in Germany as well. [The Daily Mail](#) has recently reported a “Germany in a state of siege: Merkel was cheered when she opened the floodgates to migrants. Now, with gangs of men roaming the streets and young German women being told to cover up, the mood's changing”. And this reflects the most basic lack of understanding among western liberals: that third-world people, if we must concede that they are people, do not share Western values.

Reportedly, over 2,000 refugee camps have sprung up across Germany, and some towns fear being consumed entirely by the refugees. [The Daily Mail](#) shares a report from a migrant camp in Glessen in that same article, where it says that “There are even reports of children being raped and subjected to sexual assault.... Many women have felt the need to sleep in their clothes... they won't go to the toilet at night because rapes and assaults have taken place on their way to, or from, there. Even in daylight, a walk through the camp is fraught with fear.... It is a fact that women and children are unprotected. This situation is opportune for those men who already regard women as their inferiors and treat unaccompanied women as 'fair game'.”

When immigrants or refugees entering a nation do not respect the laws, customs and property of the people they have come to dwell among, and they continue steadfast in their refusal to respect those things, then they cannot appropriately be classified as immigrants or refugees. More truthfully, they are colonists and invaders and prospective conquerors of the nations they enter. Only the most deceived fools would be led to think otherwise.

It is only rather recently in European history that all of the various White tribes have come to an understanding under the rule of law that women may wander the streets unescorted by men in relative safety, and it is only Christian ethics which have made that at all possible. And in spite of Christian moral sensitivity, it is only those same ethics and that same rule of law which those ethics have afforded that allows women to choose to walk around in public in a state that we can only call half-naked, without fear of being raped or even sold into sex slavery.



THE MOST OFFENSIVE THING ABOUT THIS CARTOON IS THAT IT IS ALL TRUE.

But muslim “immigrants” do not care about the laws of Western society. They actually see themselves as victorious conquerors in a religious war against Christianity, and desire to establish their own laws and, ultimately, their own governments, on the land which would be formerly known as Europe. Neither do they care about White women or children, Christian or otherwise. One glaring recent example is the child abuse scandal which occurred in Rotherham, England. As it was [reported by the BBC](#) and other English media, between 1997 and 2013 a ring of Pakistanis were able to rape upwards of 1,400 young White girls, many of them gang-raped, and while authorities were frequently asked to investigate the problem they refused to act on the reports for fear of being labeled as “racist”.

A study of British history reveals that all of the successful prior invasions of Britain were only successful with the assistance of British traitors. These officials in Rotherham should be near the top of the list. One significant event we may compare the Rotherham incident to is the uprising of Boudica. This woman was a queen whose husband died and had left a will splitting his kingdom between the Roman emperor Nero and his own two daughters. The Romans ignored the will, annexed the kingdom of the Iceni, had Boudica beaten and had raped her daughters. When this happened, Boudica organized a revolt, and 100,000 men of



the Iceni and other British tribes joined her. They marched on Roman-occupied London, destroyed the city, as many as 70 to 80 thousand Romans and their British allies were killed. While Boudica was eventually defeated and the uprising quenched, the Romans in Britain remained demoralized for a long time.

So in the first century two British girls of the Iceni get raped, and it causes a revolt where as many as 80 thousand of the Romans and their sympathizers are killed in retaliation. But now in this era, when 1,400 young British girls are raped by aliens, Brits retaliate

by posting cartoons on Facebook and then they go back to their ale and their football. What they should be doing is hanging the invading Paki bastards from trees, and the council officials along with them. There should already be millions of dead Paki muslims and their sympathizers in Rotherham, if today's Brits were anything like their Icenic ancestors.

But the English authorities would rather see the destruction of innumerable English youth than be labeled as 'racist', which is a full reflection of the terrors of a Marxist tyranny. Europe was at one time Christian, and Europeans had liberty in Christ. Having neglected Christ, Europeans now live subject to the whims of the enemies of Christ. The proof is in their own words as we see the desires of the enemies of Christ fulfilled throughout the formerly Christian and soon to be formerly White nations.

Jews pass themselves off as White, and then often lead the way in race-mixing themselves, so that they can serve as examples that race-mixing is righteous and should be accepted by all. For instance, a Chicago Jew named John Chatz wrote an article titled "[I'm a White Man and my Child Will be Black](#)". But he is really a liar out to deceive unsuspecting Whites, because he is really a Jew and Jews are not White, a fact which academic Jews themselves often openly admit. The [Times of Israel](#) recently celebrated a mixed Asian-Jewish couple from America who are said to be "the leading, and virtually only, experts on Asian-Jewish intermarriage in the United States", as if such a subject required so-called experts. They are actually far behind fellow Jew Henry Makow, an internet pundit posing as a conservative traditionalist, who has actively promoted intermarriage between Whites and Asians for many years.

Where Whites do not intermarry, they are being encouraged by both Judeo-Christian churches (which is really doublespeak for Jewish-controlled churches) and the Jewish-controlled media to adopt black babies. CNN recently published an article entitled [Overseas adoptions rise -- for black American children](#) which made the claim that "most American families were, and still are, interested in adopting a white infant". That too is a lie, since many White families have taken to adopting blacks from Africa, which their Jewish-controlled churches have encouraged. One notable instance is found in a [Daily Mail report on a Tennessee family](#) which adopted 8 so-called children from Sierra Leone, who in turn expect to be supported by their church. It is incredibly amazing, how easy it has been for the Jews and their Jewish-controlled media to get Whites in Europe and America to marry themselves off to non-Whites and have children, thereby destroying their own race forever because the Jews tell them that it is right to do so!

But when Whites do not mix with the other races willingly, the Jews have a more sinister agenda...

We have long had a video posted at Christogenea which we had titled [The Effrontery of Judaism](#), but which evidently was excerpted from an English-language news story from Sweden. In this particular segment, an interviewer states that "As we heard, there are people in Sweden who support Israel, and have a deep sense of the injustice of the present situation. It's these people who give hope to those who still believe that things will get better here." But the situation being referred to is rather ambiguous. Are they talking about some situation in Israel? Or in Sweden? The interviewer continues: "One of them is Barbara Lerner Spectre, a former American who made 'aliyah' [*a Jewish word describing the Jewish ritual of relocation to the Israeli state in Palestine*] and then ten years ago, with the help of the government of Sweden, set up a non-denominational institute of Jewish learning with the Greek name 'Paideia' [*which she also calls 'The European Institute for Jewish Studies in Sweden*] here in Stockholm." Why there should be Jewish studies in Sweden is not made clear, but then we hear: "She believes that the current wave of anti-semitism in

Sweden will pass, and that Jews have an important role to play in a country undergoing profound change.” Why there is anti-Semitism in Sweden is not made clear, nor is it even quantified. We have not read any substantial reports of anti-Semitism in Sweden, and most Swedes do not seem concerned with Jewish affairs outside of Sweden. Neither is it clear why Barbara Spectre's visit to Israel had anything to do with Sweden, except to perhaps establish her credentials as a Jew. And she is not even a Swedish Jew, but one who was born in America. However the Swedes seem to be financing whatever Spectre is doing in Sweden, and we can also wonder who got them to do that!

Next in the news clip is Barbara Spectre herself responding to the interviewer: “I think there is a resurgence of anti-Semitism because, at this point in time, Europe has not yet learned how to be multicultural [*meaning racially-mixed*]. And I think we [*meaning Jews*] are going to be part of the throes of that transformation, which must take place. Europe [*meaning all the countries of Europe*] is not going to be the monolithic societies [*meaning racially homogeneous nations*] that they once were in the last century. Jews are going to be at the center of that. It's a huge transformation for Europe to make. They are now going into a multicultural mode, and Jews will be resented because of our leading role. But without that leading role, and without that transformation, Europe will not survive.” [*We have added remarks in brackets so that the reader can better understand the motivation of the speakers.*]

Apparently, Barbara Spectre's mind-numbing propagandizing of Swedes has worked rather well, as in a September 4th, 2015 article the UK Independent ran an article under the headline [Refugee crisis: Sweden the only European country with a majority favourable towards non-EU immigration](#). But the article editorializes much more on the rest of Europe rather than on Sweden, as it begins by saying: “If statistics alone are the judge, then the staggering 800,000 to one million refugees who are expected to apply for asylum in Germany this year have put the country streets ahead of all its neighbours in the EU's response to the worst refugee crisis to hit Europe since the Second World War.” The article goes on to portray the acceptance of non-EU immigration in the various European nations:

Between 71 and 77 per cent approve [speaking of Sweden], according to a recent Eurostat survey. At the bottom of the list come Italy, the Czech Republic, Slovakia, Estonia and Latvia where only between 15 and 21 per cent welcome immigrants. They are followed closely by Hungary, Bulgaria and Greece, where between 22 and 28 per cent of the population is positive towards non-EU immigration.... In the most heavily populated EU member-states including Britain, Ireland France, Spain, and Belgium, a range of between 49 and 29 per cent have a positive view of non-EU immigration.



Notice the last figures given in the poll are reversed, and rather than saying “between 29 and 49 per cent”, the usual convention, it says “between 49 and 29 per cent” as if to emphasize the higher number. But wait a second...

Less than 49% of the people of any European nation would accept non-EU immigration? Are not the European nations all “democratic”, meaning that a majority of the people should be able to decide the futures of each of their respective nations? So if Sweden is the only European nation with a majority in favor of

non-Eu immigration, why are non-EU immigrants even being allowed into other nations? They should all be going to Sweden! As for the Swedes, apparently they enjoy being raped.

So if the European nations are not really democracies after all, as the media itself proves every time it conducts such polls with any degree of honesty, then who is actually running Europe? There is [another video which we have hosted at Christogenea](#) for several years now, featuring a [Jewish woman named Annette Kahane](#), where among other things she said “You have to really change the policy of immigration inside Europe. This is very important, you have to adopt the educational system and adapt all the self understanding of the states. They are not anymore only white or only Swedish or only Portuguese or only German. They are multicultural places in the world.” In yet [another video which we also host](#) and which is also several years old, a [Jew named Ervin Kohn](#) laments the fact that Norway is a homogeneous White society, and he discusses the work which he and the organization which he founded there, the so-called “Norwegian Center against Racism”, must do in order to change that situation in Norway.

These videos which we cite are only three examples out of a plethora of evidence that Jews are the primary proponents of the acceptance by the various governments of this mass immigration into Europe, in spite of the will of the people themselves. It should be relatively easy to understand, that if this acceptance of mass immigration is the desire of these Jews, but not the desire of the majority of Europeans, then it is these Jews who are in political control of Europe, and not Europeans. The nations of Europe are not democracies, and they are not oligarchies. They may be considered Plutocracies, nations ruled by wealth. But more accurately, they are Jewocracies, they are run by the Jews and the public perception is controlled through the Jewish-controlled media. The same is true in America, Canada, and Australia. Ostensibly, the polls are only taken to measure the success of media influence and the possibility of resistance.

All of this is happening while in the Israeli state, refugees are being threatened with prison if they do not leave the country, regardless of any refugee status they may actually have. The Washington Post recently reported in an article titled [Israeli government to refugees: Go back to Africa or go to prison](#) that “As Europe struggles to stem a spring flood of migrants from Africa and the Middle East trying to cross a deadly Mediterranean Sea, Israel has begun to toughen its stance toward refugees, telling unwanted Africans here they must leave now or face an indefinite stay in prison.” So Jews can be Jews and practice Judaism exclusively in Israel, but Jews in Europe insist that Whites surrender both their religious exclusivity and their racial homogeneity to non-Whites. Such Jewish hypocrisy is an innate feature of their character, as it is recorded in Matthew chapter 23 that Jesus Christ had told the Jews of His time:

“But woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye shut up the kingdom of heaven against men: for ye neither go in *yourselves*, neither suffer ye them that are entering to go in.”

While it is evident with such a remark that Jews would rather destroy the Kingdom of Heaven than do what it takes to have a part in it, it is further evident where Christ continued to speak, that those who would follow the Jews become twice as corrupted as the Jews themselves:

“Woe unto you, scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites! for ye compass sea and land to make one proselyte, and when he is made, ye make him twofold more the child of hell than yourselves.”

Race is not a social construct: Society is a racial construct. When Europe, America and the other White Nations are completely overrun with aliens, they will simply cease to be Europe or America. Instead, they

shall become a greater Syria, a larger Turkey, a northern Africa, or a continent-wide Mexico. Whites have moved on to other lands many times in history and wherever they went they have created great societies. But now there are no new lands to move to. Shall White society end forever to the amusement of the Jews? Once a race becomes mixed, the damage can never be repaired. For that reason we have Syria, Egypt, and the abject poverty of Africa and East Asia, Haiti and Cuba and Mexico.

Jean Raspail and his novel *The Camp of the Saints* are now being vindicated on a daily basis. One group of Jews plays the role of Satan, the “bad cop”, while another much smaller group of Jews, who have no real impact on policy, are assigned the role of “good cop”, and the likes of David Horowitz, Pamela Geller, Melanie Philips or Caroline Glick formulate the mainstream “opposition” voice so that Jews can control both sides of the argument, and those who still read can never see the real devil. But there is a third group of Jews, such as those at the Southern Poverty Law Center, who scoff at it all and deny what is happening in plain sight. As Jesus Christ had told the most influential Jews of His time:

“Ye are of *your* father the devil, and the lusts of your father ye will do. He was a murderer from the beginning, and abode not in the truth, because there is no truth in him. When he speaketh a lie, he speaketh of his own: for he is a liar, and the father of it. And because I tell you the truth, ye believe me not.”

The God of Creation will not be mocked by these devils forever. There is only one remedy. Come Out of Her My People! Arise and Thresh, O Daughter of Zion! When will White Europeans stand up for themselves and fulfill the vision of another great White man who also saw what was coming, even before Raspail wrote his novel, to create those Rivers of Blood by which all Whites everywhere must defend themselves against extinction?

William R Finck
Publisher
christogenea.org



Front Cover picture by Pavel Kot <https://cs-cz.facebook.com/pavel.kot.37>
- Polish football supporters display anti-Islamic, anti-immigration
and pro Christian scarves and banners



Contents

Editorial – The Camp of the Saints

Drowning in Altruism: Thoughts on White Pathology and the Invasion of Europe	A Joyce	8
Drowned “Syrian” Boy Funeral Exposes Lie of Non-white “War Refugees”		17
Non-white Invader Violence Swamping Germany, Police Reports Reveal		19
“Hell has broken Loose”: Invader Criminality in Germany		21
Ukrainian TV Reveals anti-European Hatred of Non-white Invader Hordes		22
Why Merkel Has To Step Down – Major General Schultze-Rhonhof		23
White Genocide Quotes		31
The South Africa Family Relief Project (SAFRP)		33
Dissident Racism Part 2: Above the Fruited Plain – Pastor M Downey		34
The Fallacy of Evolutionary Theory		46
David Duke and Jewish Lies – W R Fink		52
Faurisson’s Exposure of the Holocaust Hoax		58
Tombstone for Tommy Atkins – M Walsh		67
Measles can prevent cancer and degenerative diseases of bone and cartilage - R Nimann		68
Vaccine-induced Immune Overload – E A Huff		69
Dr. Andrew Moulden: Every Vaccine Produces Harm		70
What is Christian Identity?		
Announcements		

The worst of charity is that the lives you are asked to preserve are not worth preserving.'

Ralph Waldo Emerson, *The Conduct of Life* (1860)



Drowning in Altruism: Thoughts on White Pathology and the Invasion of Europe

Andrew Joyce

Here at *The Occidental Observer* we are increasingly concerned with the origin, nature, and expression of pathological altruism in Whites. While there are a number of causes behind our rapid biological and cultural decline, this is surely one of the most potent, and it requires urgent and ongoing attention. I recently spent an evening reading a large amount of material on the [deaths of Africans](#) attempting to illegally enter Europe. It wasn't long before I was confronted with an outpouring of White angst over the drowning of a disputed number of African invaders. On webpage after webpage, in one of the strangest contortions of logic imaginable, I witnessed Europe being slandered with murder for failing to facilitate an entirely risk-free method of invasion. All of the tropes about evil Whites were brought into play. The Maltese Prime Minister [said](#) the deaths were "nothing less than genocide," and Swedish MEP Cecilia Wikström [compared the deaths](#) to "the Holocaust":

I think that my children and grandchildren are going to ask why more wasn't done to help people running away from Isis, or violence in Eritrea or wherever, when we knew that people were dying in their thousands. People will ask the same question they did after the war, 'if you

were aware, why didn't you do something?' In Sweden we allowed our railroads to be used to transfer Jews to Nazi death camps.

The hand-wringing of the politicians was matched by an outpouring of fashionable grief from White social justice types. On social media platforms Whites are straining to display their moral credentials, and thus increase their social status among peers, by trying to express the most indignation at 'Europe's failure.' Academics, along with the media one of the main sources of cultural control, joined in the European festival of self-hate. Consider the [remarks](#) of Dr Tom Vickers, of Northumbria University's Department of Social Sciences:

The people drowning in their hundreds in the Mediterranean are the victims of securitised immigration controls, imperialist wars, and an approach to immigration policy that places profit before people. Of course we should demand that EU states do everything possible to save people from drowning, and we should also demand a safe means for them to cross into Europe and equal rights with citizens when they arrive.

What Vickers is proposing is nothing less than the obliteration of European borders, and the subsidization of the African usurpation of the European peoples. It is a blueprint for Europe to abolish itself, especially given Africa's 1 billion plus population, their high fertility, and the violence and poverty that are endemic to the region. Vickers' ill-informed comments would be comical in their naivety, and scandalous in their implications, if they were not so widely held and endorsed by millions of like-minded Whites. On England's Brighton beach, around two hundred of these maladaptive imbeciles, evidently with nothing better to do, climbed into body bags as part of a protest organized by Amnesty International.



More stunts by the intellectually and morally stunted

I hasten to add that, amidst the tears, Facebook 'sharing,' and other trend-following nonsense indulged in by espresso-sipping White ethno-masochists, a number of vital facts have been left unaddressed. For a start, last year's UN figures showed that the annual death rate for would-be invaders was **less than 1.5%**. To put this into some kind of perspective, the number of Africans who die annually trying to reach Europe is around the same number killed each year by a **hippopotamus**. These are just the recent figures that have whipped Whites into a self-hating frenzy — in 2012 an African was six times more likely to be killed by a hippo than die

while trying to enter Europe.

Making an attempt to cross and enter Europe is seen by would-be invaders as exceptionally easy and, for an illegal endeavor, very safe. In fact, the crossing is a victim of its own success. As it became more and more attractive, it eventually became slightly less safe due to the increase in numbers attempting to make the crossing, fuller and less stable boats, and a rise in demand enticing more unscrupulous people traffickers. The key to stopping the flow, and reducing any danger, is not to make it safer for invaders, but rather to stop them at their point of departure or decimate demand for crossings by making it known that Africans have no place in Europe. Instead, Europe is shaming itself because it isn't able to ensure the same previous levels of safety and assistance that brought about the invasion boom in the first place.

The sober reality of the situation hasn't prevented the majority of White Europeans from incorporating the latest collateral damage of the African invasion into an increasingly frenzied moral panic about the Continent's reception of 'refugees.' Pathological Whites are clamoring for it to be made *extremely* easy and safe for those trying to enter Europe illegally and they are basing this on an incredibly dysfunctional set of precepts:

- That Africans have an innate and unchallengeable 'right' to enter Europe.
- That Europeans have an 'obligation' to ensure illegal Africans can enter Europe safely.
- That African deaths in transit are a result of European indifference rooted in racism, and that this somehow ties in with European 'responsibility' for Jewish deaths during World War II.
- That the illegal African invading force is saintly, and consists largely of women and children.

These people are operating in a dreamscape entirely detached from reality. Going solely by statistics, these invaders set sail in order to take the resources bequeathed to Europeans by their ancestors, to rape European women on an unprecedented scale, and to speedily fasten themselves like ticks upon European social welfare provisions. Pathological Whites are beholden to the image of boats filled with saintly men, women and children seeking peace, prosperity and inter-ethnic harmony. This is due mainly to the fact that much of the media propaganda circulating on the Mediterranean deaths attempts to convey the image of drowning families, women, and children. CNN screeched about '[pregnant women](#),' while other news sources peddled tales of toddlers waiting to depart Libya for European shores.

This fabrication cleverly appeals to the *Titanic*-like 'women and children first' heartstring that appears to be so easily plucked in Whites. However, it remains a calculated misrepresentation — UN statistics confirm the [vast majority](#) of illegal African invaders are male. Even a cursory glance at photographs of the invasion fleet reveals the personnel to be overwhelmingly young males — precisely the demographic profile one would expect of an invading force. However, the controllers of the media want the maximum emotional impact for their tale of woe. Boatloads of what looks like a casting call for *Boyz n the Hood* won't suffice, so the narrative is skewed away from reality in order to stoke the moral panic.



Another boat full of 'women and children'

What do these illegal male African immigrants do once they have safely arrived on European soil? Well, according to [statistics](#), in Denmark they have a crime rate 73% higher than the Danish male population average. In Finland they will form 2.2% of the population but commit 21% of rapes. In Germany they will commit crimes five times more often than natives. In Greece they will be responsible for half of all criminal activity. In the Netherlands their youth will be responsible for 63% of juvenile crime. In Norway, they committed all assault rapes in 2007, 2008 and 2009. They comprise 69.7% of the Swiss prison population, and have a crime rate 600% higher than the Swiss average. In Sweden they will commit 25% of all crime, and are five times more likely to commit a sex crime than natives. In England they will commit a third of all sex crimes. In Italy they will be responsible for 40% of rapes. Nevertheless, pathological Whites want it to be made easier for these men to get to Europe. Once here, the pathology continues as the invaders are greeted by Whites who are indoctrinated to see them as no different from anyone else. The invaders are trusted. Relationships develop. Relationships like that of English nurse Kate Cullen, who extended her own welcome to 'asylum seeker' Iman Ghaefelipour by involving herself romantically with him. Ghaefelipour reciprocated by stabbing her in the head [130 times](#).

But no lessons were learned, and the pathology continues. The saintly seafarers must be rescued. These Whites are agonizing over the safety of African invaders who will inevitably bring misery to themselves and their progeny.

Why are so many Whites seemingly incapable of seeing the situation as it truly is? Despite all facts to the contrary, they clearly persist in seeing self-interested crime-prone invaders as saintly victims traversing a terrible sea in search of peace and harmony with their new White friends. According to the pathological narrative, the peace-loving 'women and children' drown as victims of a heartless, racist

‘fortress Europe.’ Part of the reason for White blindness is that Whites are very consumed with the emotive qualities of the false narrative. The ‘women and children’ fantasy, together with an emphasis on Europe’s moral ‘obligations,’ is very prominent in discussions of this issue. It appeals to Whites on an instinctive level.



Blame ourselves instead

However, these putative White instincts are redundant without triggers, and these have been ably provided by the media and its direction and manipulation of public opinion. There is thus a potent mix of manipulative media narrative and emotive triggers which combine to move the sensibilities of Whites. Exactly the same situation prevailed in the West in the early 1880s when Whites were fed **false narratives** of the Russian pogroms. Then, Whites rushed to protest against Russian violence and support the innocent Jews (women and children were prominent in the narrative of Jewish victimization), oblivious to the fact the violence was invented. The Jews weren’t peace-seeking ‘refugees’ at all, but were flooding the West in search of wealth and power. The reason for White ignorance was a contemporary media monopoly, Jewish propaganda, and the careful control of the popular narrative.

It is true that Whites appear exceptionally altruistic at times, but it must be acknowledged that this is exacerbated and directed to a great extent by media and cultural influences which dictate to Whites where the moral boundaries lie. It’s no coincidence that

examples of White suicidal altruism, in issues of ethnicity and race, began around the mid-nineteenth century, precisely the same period that witnessed the rise of the modern mass media.

The concept of a ‘moral panic’ may be useful for our understanding of the interaction between a manipulative media, cultural Marxism, and White sensitivity to moral issues. **Moral panics** are traditionally conceived as intense feelings expressed in a population about an issue which appears to threaten the social order. Common examples provided in this context are the panic over video games in the early 1990s, and panics in several countries over ritual satanic abuse. In these cases, the media is said to be volatile and play a large role in stoking a level of public concern about the issue which is disproportionate to the true level of the threat. There is normally also a sense of hostility towards an identified ‘folk devil.’ The concept has been readily accepted and pushed by Jews and the Left because Leftist academics commonly associate moral panics with allegedly irrational Right-wing or traditional beliefs. Some have even attempted to construct ‘anti-Semitism’ as little more than a moral panic. I believe the model remains useful, but not in the way it has been utilized by Jews or the Left.

I think the best conception of a moral panic is to see it as intense feelings expressed in a population about an issue which appears to threaten the *moral* order, rather than the social order. This allows us to better understand the manipulation of the immigration debate, and the recent White reaction to the deaths of African invaders is a classic example. Suicidal White altruism on behalf of African invaders is brought about and encouraged by the framing of African deaths *by the media and the controllers of culture* as a simple and explicitly moral issue. There is an overwhelming focus on European ‘obligations’ in current discussion and this is accompanied by maudlin ‘it’s the right thing to do’ chattering. The deaths are carefully quarantined from discussions

about the impact of immigration or immigrant crime. We are instead encouraged to focus just on the ‘women and children’ and the boats.

The intensity of European public feeling is thus stoked by a false narrative, including continuous stories about ‘pregnant women’ and children, until the feeling of concern is greatly disproportionate to the actually quite *minimal risk* taken by African *men*. The issue, as it exists in the public mind, is thus greatly different in scale and content from the situation as it actually occurs. The resultant extreme moralism on the issue is thus a product of the European concern with **morality as social currency** (an internal factor), together with a significant amount of manipulation and direction via domination by **hostile elites** of the media and intellectual high ground in the West (an external factor). The ‘folk devil’ in this case, as in all others involving race, is European man himself. He is encouraged to keep pushing his government to introduce ever more lenient border measures (which are designated as inherently immoral) until they are finally abolished altogether. Only when non-Whites have unfettered access to his land and resources will his internal guilt be assuaged.

The seed of the notion of Europeans as folk devils is sown from a young age in European minds through ‘Holocaust’ education and skewed lessons on the history of slavery and race relations. The eradication of the White’s sense of ethnocentrism (indeed, the cultivation of his active disdain for it) is completed with his college education, where he is exposed to the radical critique of his culture and its past. Throughout his education and growth into adulthood he is surrounded by a rapidly degenerating culture in which there are no certainties, no traditions. He unthinkingly absorbs the prevailing dogma: gender is a construct, race is a construct, sexuality is fluid, and the traditional family unit is despotic. Stripped of all sense of pride and identity, the young (often successful and educated) are then primed to begin a life of conscious or unconscious self-hatred. Kevin

MacDonald has **pointed** to young, childless, 30-something Whites who fit exactly this profile. They join organizations like Doctors without Borders, where they risk being **murdered** and work for peanuts helping non-Whites. They then return home where they showcase their inter-ethnic altruism for social approval.

This self-hatred can be relatively dormant, to the extent that it is often sub-conscious, but will spike when the media or other cultural influences discover a suitable issue and build a false narrative around it. When the false narrative goes mainstream, replete with emotive moral triggers, White self-hate translates into activism which then takes on a life and momentum of its own. The moral crusade quickly becomes fashionable, spreading on trend-facilitators like social media, gaining more and more blind followers. The true facts behind the original issue are by this point buried under layers of socially constructed debate, stunts, and protests of the ‘body-bag-on-a-beach’ variety. Counterarguments are at this stage designated as subversive, and as an extension of the folk devil of Europe’s ‘racist’ past. Even ‘indifference’ and any mention of the costs of immigration are treated with contempt. Those individuals who are alert to the ruse and actively organize behind a counterargument, such as PEGIDA or nationalist political parties, are designated as folk devils incarnate. Confronted with these folk devils, White moralism reaches its zenith.

An excellent example of reaction to a specific ‘folk devil’ in this instance is the furore caused by Katie Hopkins, a journalist for England’s *The Sun*. Hopkins refused to accept the false narrative, and sent pathological Whites into a tailspin by writing a piece titled ‘**Rescue boats? I’d use gunships to stop migrants.**’ She wrote: “NO, I don’t care. Show me pictures of coffins, show me bodies floating in water, play violins and show me skinny people looking sad. I still don’t care. Because in the next minute you’ll show me pictures of aggressive young men at Calais,

spreading like norovirus on a cruise ship.”

Hopkins’ crime here was her refusal to display the expected emotional response to the trigger propaganda, along with her refusal to adopt the tunnel-vision of events advocated by the media. She refused to see only the bodies and the boats. She stuck to the bigger picture — the picture of a Europe invaded by “aggressive” Africans who are “spreading like norovirus” across Europe. Hopkins refused to accept the false image of vulnerable, victimized women and children. She wrote, *“Make no mistake, these migrants are like cockroaches...they are built to survive a nuclear bomb. They are survivors. Once gunships have driven them back to their shores, boats need to be confiscated and burned on a huge bonfire.”*

Reaction against Hopkins was swift. A petition calling for her to be fired from her job has now gathered well over a [quarter of a million](#) signatures on Change.org, that cesspit for pathological White activism. The [page](#) pertaining to Hopkins proudly announces it has raised around \$50,000 for the African invaders, and features ‘Twitter mentions’ for self-important White moralists who have condemned Hopkins as ‘vile,’ ‘evil,’ ‘a Nazi,’ ‘loathsome,’ and ‘repulsive.’ The United Nations, urged on by the social outrage fashion-followers, [described](#) her comments as “pro-genocide propaganda (!).”

Finally, after a complaint by the Society of Black Lawyers, who alleged that Hopkins was guilty of incitement to racial hatred, the ‘specialist crime and investigations directorate’ of the Metropolitan Police is now considering whether Hopkins should be prosecuted under the Public Order Act (the racial aspect of which, as I have previously [documented](#), was introduced thanks to Jewish efforts). We thus see the full performance of a trifecta of White handicaps — the destruction of White culture, the manipulation of White opinion and moral outrage, and the repression of pro-White counterargument through

cultural and legal controls.

Aside from active factors in the White malaise, we must also consider the passive factors. It is an unfortunate reality that the majority of people in any given society are relatively unthinking. Many are intellectually lazy or are otherwise pre-occupied with other aspects of their lives. These people, and we all know many of them, unquestionably accept widely circulating information as factual, acceptable, and rational. Many either can’t or won’t engage in independent thought, and it is therefore unsurprising that many Whites are happy to ‘like’ and ‘share’ and ‘comment’ on the invader deaths in the manner commensurate with their indoctrination, but refrain from ever conducting independent research into the matter.

If they did possess such initiative, they would find that many of the deaths are murders committed by the migrants themselves. This would undoubtedly have an impact on their view of the situation. It appears that the majority of cases of invader deaths on the Med are murders, carried out for tribal or religious reasons, or by unscrupulous smugglers. For example, less than two weeks ago [fifteen Muslim invaders threw twelve Christian invaders into the Mediterranean](#), where they drowned. Was Europe to blame for this? Of course not, but the twelve will be quietly added to the death toll, which will then be used by Europeans for self-flagellation. Last year, according to the [New York Times](#), “smugglers deliberately rammed a boat carrying some 500 refugees who refused a transfer to a smaller boat they felt was not safe. The smugglers reportedly laughed as terrified men, women and children sank into the sea. Only nine people are thought to have survived.”

Is this an example of a ‘genocide’ carried out by Europe? Pathological Whites believe so, and they are rushing to assuage their guilt by devoting their time, energy and resources to assisting the African invasion.

One such couple is [Chris and Regina Catrambone](#). These millionaires are spending an average \$445,000 each month operating a 40-meter floating medical center. Their operation has helped more than 3,000 Africans reach Europe since launching late last year. The case of the Catrambone's illustrates the array of social and cultural influences bearing down on Whites and encouraging their activism on behalf of African invaders. The couple say there were inspired by a sermon from Pope Francis in which he criticized what he called the "global indifference" to the 'refugee crisis.'

This brings us to another contributing factor of White pathology — the total failure of modern Christian religious institutions to protect the ethnic group that brought the Christian religion to its greatest fulfilment. As Kevin MacDonald has [pointed out](#), a lot of this has to do with the corruption of the Church by the secular Left, and the fact that after the 60s eventually even Christians adopted a lot of the attitudes of the era. But a major failing of Christianity is that, unlike Judaism or comparable racist religions like the Nation of Islam, **is has no ethnic component whatsoever**. Christianity is fundamentally universalist and this renders it completely ineffective as the driving force for a White group evolutionary strategy. *[Publisher's note: Christianity certainly has an ethnic component, as it is originally a religion exclusively meant for certain Whites. This component was persecuted out of Christianity in its first few centuries. This is the purpose of Christogenea.org and all of its projects, including The Saxon Messenger: to restore the ethnic component as it originally belonged to Christianity. Christians had no general intention to convert other races until the time of the Jesuits and the rise of Roman Catholic Church imperialism. Christogenea has fully documented this position in many of our papers and broadcasts.]* Christianity may have worked in the past, but it is not going to help us now. Christian moralism, when combined with Christian

universalism, is a death sentence for White ethnic interests. When morality is defined as essentially universal, it can have no ethnic application. In fact, in the context of intense ethnic competition, such a moral scheme will inevitably contribute to the rapid decline of the universalists. As I have [written](#) previously,

In the past, when Europe, North America and other White homelands were ethnically homogenous (and confidently so) some of the fundamental conflicts between ideas of the "universal man under God," and an acknowledgement that one was part of a specific ethnic community with concrete interests, could be masked. Not so in this brave new world. Only since the 1950s can we assess the utility of the Christian faith in acting as a boon to the folk who for centuries granted it lordship over them. And in the assessment of this writer, it has been found wanting.

I have no real hostility to Christianity. I believe simply that it will only be an asset to White ethnic survival when it recognizes the genocide of Whites and designates it as a moral evil and contrary to the wishes of God. Unfortunately, I see no signs of this occurring.

Morality is not, in itself, a bad thing. But history is replete with examples of mankind perpetrating evil in the conviction that 'the right thing' was being done. A great evil is underway in Europe — the slow but steady genocide of an indigenous people — and it is being carried out under superficially 'moral' precepts. All one needs to do in order to engineer such an evil among a people prone to altruism and sensitive to moral concerns, is to redraw the moral boundaries of that people — to make them their own folk devil. We at *TOO* know better than most how and why our society has been transformed and its values inverted. And we know who lies behind it ■



Drowned “Syrian” Boy Funeral Exposes Lie of Non-white “War Refugees”

The funeral of the drowned “Syrian” (actually Kurdish) boy Aylan Kurdi in his hometown of Kobane, Syria, less than two days after his death at sea off the Turkish coast, has definitely exposed as a lie the claims that the non-white invasion of Europe is the result of “war refugees.” Kobane is 869 miles (1,400 kilometers) from Bodrum in Turkey, where the Kurdish boy was drowned [after his parents irresponsibly loaded him onto an overcrowded dinghy](#), and is supposedly—if the controlled mass media is to be believed, in the middle of a “war zone.”

Yet Aylayn Kurdi’s father Abdullah was able to take the bodies of not only Aylan, but also of his brother Ghalib and his wife Rehan, back to Kobane where they were buried, once again before the waiting press cameras.

The question which can well be asked is therefore, if the Kurdi family was “fleeing a war zone,” why was it possible for Abdullah Kurdi to travel so easily back to his hometown and arrange full public funerals in Kobane?

The very definition of a “war refugee” is, according to the [official United Nations definition, as contained in the 1951 Refugee Convention](#):

“a person who is outside his or her country of nationality or habitual residence; has a well-founded

fear of being persecuted because of his or her race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion; and is unable or unwilling to avail him—or herself of the protection of that country, or to return there, for fear of persecution.” (see Article 1A(2)).

The fact that Abdullah Kurdi could return overnight to Kobane to bury his family shows clearly that he—and all the so-called “refugees” do not qualify as “refugees” in any sense:


–They are not being persecuted because of their race, religion, nationality, membership of a particular social group or political opinion;

–They are able and willing to avail themselves of the protection of Syria (otherwise they would not have been able to go back there so easily); and

–They are easily able to return to their home without fear of persecution.

In other words, even under the UN’s own definition, the Kurdi family were not “refugees” in any sense of the word.

Furthermore, [as the controlled media has now been forced to admit, the Kurdi family had been living in Turkey for three years before even trying to invade Europe](#)—after their legal application to immigrate to Canada had been turned down by the Canadian authorities.



Further evidence of the outright lies being used by the non-white invaders, and their liberal promoters in the controlled mass media, came with the results of an *in situ* inspection of a “refugee center” in Mineo, Sicily, by the leader of the Lega Nord party, Matteo Salvini.

[Writing on his Facebook page](#), Salvini pointed out that of the 3,042 “refugees” in the center, only two had actually come from any of the supposed “war zones.”

He said that all the rest came from other Third World countries, including India and Bangladesh. (“Is there a war there?” he asked.)

“Refugees or illegal immigrants? Do you see now?” Salvini concluded, correctly pointing out that the non-whites had no right to be in Europe at all.

Meanwhile, Hungarian Prime Minister Viktor Orban has joined the growing ranks of Central European nations to warn of the danger posed by the non-white invasion.

Hitting out at Germany for saying it would accept requests from “Syrians” regardless of where they

entered the European Union—a move which also breaks all previously established European Union rules—Orban said that this was only encouraging the invasion.

He also announced that Hungary is building a fence and tightening migration rules that it says will close Hungary off to migrants as of September 15.

“The reality is that Europe is threatened by a mass inflow of people, many tens of millions of people could come to Europe,” Orban told public radio in a regular Friday interview.

“Now we talk about hundreds of thousands but next year we will talk about millions and there is no end to this,” he said.

In May this year, Orban sent personal letters to eight million Hungarian voters in which he pledged to protect their livelihoods from immigrants. In July he said “political correctness in Europe” had enforced a “guilt-ridden silence” on the links between immigration and violent crime ■

[The New Observer](#)



Non-white Invader Violence Swamping Germany, Police Reports Reveal

[The New Observer](#)

Non-white invader violence is beginning to overwhelm the German police, with authorities in one federal state alone reporting 926 “incidents”—or 30 per day, every day—in “asylum centers” during the month of August.

The 926 “incidents” took place in the state of North Rhine-Westphalia, [according to an article in the far leftist *Der Spiegel* magazine.](#)

The violence is the inevitable—and predictable—result of allowing hundreds of thousands of Third Worlders to flood into the country, caused by the liberal race-blind delusion that anyone from anywhere can “become” a European by simply crossing a border.

According to *Der Spiegel*—a magazine which feverishly promoted the non white invasion in the first place—“Concern is rising in Germany at the increase in incidents of violence at refugee hostels in the country.” The *Der Spiegel* article then went on to detail some of the more violent incidents caused by the non-whites, starting with a September 27 “mass brawl” at Calden Airport, near the city of Kassel, between Albanians and Pakistanis—neither of these groups actually qualifying as “refugees” in any sense of the word.

According to the report, the clash started when an 18-year-old Albanian hit an 80-year-old Pakistani in the face. A security guard intervened and separated the two, but, according to *Der Spiegel*, “three hours later, 50 to 60 Pakistanis stormed into the hangar and threatened the young Albanian with aluminum rods they had taken from their cots. The police moved in

and were eventually able to restore peace.

Come dinnertime, though, 300 angry Albanians had turned up. Some attacked the Pakistanis, benches were thrown; men struck each other with clubs and used pepper spray.

“Police estimate that more than 350 of the 1,500 refugees staying in the emergency shelter at the Calden Airport near the city of Kassel became involved in the fight.... Two weeks prior, another altercation at Calden left 60 people injured.”

The *Der Spiegel* article then admitted that there have other violent outbreaks at hostels in Ellwangen in the state of Baden-Württemberg, Suhl in Thuringia, Bramsche in Lower Saxony, Trier in Rhineland-Palatinate, Heidenau in Saxony, as well as in Dresden and Leipzig, saying that an “explosive mood is developing in many of the refugee camps across Germany” with “police situation reports from across the country describ[ing] a growing propensity to violence in the hostels.”

As an example, in one non-white invader camp in the Bavarian town of Königsbrunn, police seized machetes constructed from bed frames—one-meter-long (three-foot) pipes with knives attached to them,” as well as a “chair leg whose tip had been shaped into a club and four iron pipes, each about one meter in length.”



Asylum center offices destroyed during the non-white invader riot at Suhl

At the beginning of September, hundreds of nonwhite invaders began fighting at a trade fair exhibition hall that had been converted into a hostel in the town of Sinsheim in Baden-Württemberg. According to the report, security guards fled after being threatened by nonwhites with knives.

Germany's police officers' unions have already called for the non-whites to be segregated, echoing a request made by Thuringia Governor Bodo Ramelow in August after the offices of an invader camp in the town of Suhl were destroyed in an invader riot.

In Leipzig, the Trade Fair complex, a mass brawl between Syrians, Iraqis, Afghans, and Pakistanis broke out, with the nonwhites attacking German army personnel and security guards. Six Afghans were arrested during the rioting.

In the city of Trier, it took 70 police officers to quell a mass brawl between Syrians and Albanians which erupted during a soccer match.

More violence is expected, *Der Spiegel* admitted, saying that "Experts believe that there could be more violence in the coming months. One reason is the sheer number of arrivals. September saw a record number of refugees reaching Germany and reception facilities have had a hard time erecting tents and cots fast enough."

According to Interior Minister Thomas de Maizière, between 8,000 and 10,000 non white invaders are still arriving in Germany every day.

In addition, *Der Spiegel* said, there are a "growing number of sexual assaults targeting women and children in the refugee shelters." These attacks are "constantly climbing, according to the federal government's commissioner for abuse, Johannes-Wilhelm Rörig."

Aid groups have reported "numerous rapes" in the non-white invader camp in Giessen, in the state of Hesse, and since then, "such reports have accumulated across the country. Single women no longer feel safe showering or going to the toilet at night, according to the reports."

The large number of non-white invaders who have flooded into Germany from countries where they do not face any persecution—such as Pakistan, Afghanistan, Sub-Saharan Africa, North Africa, and most of the Middle East—are also violent time-bombs just waiting to go off as their "asylum" applications inevitably get rejected. *Der Spiegel*, quoting a spokesman from the far leftist "Pro-Asyl" organization, Marei Pelzer, admitted that the rejection of these patently bogus asylum applications was causing further violence.

"The potential for aggressive behavior," Pelzer said, "grows when refugees begin to realize that such reception facilities are the end of the road for their asylum hopes. In Calden, for example, some of those involved in the brawl had just learned that they wouldn't be allowed to stay... They were, of course, correspondingly excitable."

Thus it is that the race-blind, far leftist "open doors" asylum policy promoted by Angela Merkel and other traitors in the German establishment has created a situation which cannot but end in bloody violence.

Firstly, the sheer numbers of nonwhites who have now entered Germany is of such proportions that no

matter what happens, they are present in large enough numbers to have brought their violent, chaotic, and criminal Third World culture with them.

Secondly, when those hundreds of thousands of “asylum seekers” who have not the slightest real claim to asylum, are told that they have to leave, their numbers are such that they will violently resist any deportation attempts.

“Hell has broken Loose”: Invader Criminality in Germany

“Hell has broken loose” in Germany and “massive” non-white invader criminality of the most serious sorts, including robbery, rape, slavery, and the imposition of sharia law, is overwhelming the police, the Federal Chairman of the German Police Union, Rainer Wendt, has warned.

In a remarkably frank interview broadcast on the N24 television service in Germany, Wendt warned that the criminal activities were not the result of the non-white invaders being cramped up in small places

“In our asylum camps all hell has broken loose, at the border in southern Germany and in Bavaria especially. It’s hell. Our colleagues down there can’t even take their boots off any more,” he said, referring to the non-stop work the police in Bavaria have in trying to cope with the non-white invasion. For months now our police have been heavily overwhelmed, and now politicians are acting like they are totally surprised, but that cannot be. We hear of mass brawls in asylum camps, and we hear of robberies in grocery stores. There is criminality among the refugees, meaning rapes of women and children, massive use of violence, criminal activities such as exploitation, slavery; we see it all there. “These are not just little squabbles because they’re living in cramped spaces, these are territorial conflicts, dominance struggles. They are fanatical religious groups that can’t be easily separated. Our security personnel are completely overwhelmed.”

Referring to the sheer numbers of non-white invaders who have poured into Germany after Chancellor Angela merkel invited them in, Wendt said that the

No matter which way it goes, it is sure that the Third Worlders are going to inflict significant violent damage upon Germany and Germans.

The only question which remains to be answered will be if the Germans—and all Europeans affected by this mass non-white invasion—are going to let it happen, or fight back, politically and, most likely, physically ■

newcomers were bringing sharia law with them.

“They don’t respect our rule of law. They instinctively know that our laws are weak and they know that no matter how badly they behave, it has absolutely no consequence for their asylum status. They can pretty much do as they please, and the state barely reacts.

“The judiciary and the political part of the state should make it very clear to these people from the beginning that in this country, sharia law does not apply, or any other religion, but that here the German rule of law applies, and that we are ready to enforce that.

“This is not about family squabbles; we are talking heavy crime, extreme criminal offenses, and we have to show that whoever commits such an act, in essence changes from being persecuted to becoming a persecutor, then our rule of law has to react. “These people have to be pulled out immediately, and for that reason we need to open up the deportation facilities again. That’s where these people belong, and they don’t have the right to await their asylum proceedings in freedom,” Wendt said. Asked if it was a good idea to segregate the invaders to try and reduce the violence, Wendt said that the reasoning behind such a proposal was a “sign of helplessness. It is hardly possible, even for religious reasons alone.

“Who do you want to separate? The Sunnis from the Shiites, the moderate Salafists from the radical Salafists? When in the end they are all just followers of the Muslim belief system, it is just not possible,” he said ■ *Excerpts from [The New Observer](#)*



Ukrainian TV Reveals anti-European Hatred of Non-white Invader Hordes

A dramatic interview broadcast on Ukrainian television with an Arabic-fluent woman trapped on a train packed with non-white invaders on their way to Germany has revealed first-hand the anti-European hatred among these fake “refugees.”

The young Ukrainian woman, Aida Bolevar, was on a train leaving from Budapest’s main station a few weeks ago, and was perfectly able to understand what the non-whites were saying because she had previously lived in a Muslim country.

The invaders did not know that she could understand everything that was being said, and she did not let on that she knew.

In this interview, she tells of what went on in the train:

At the Keleti station, they were filthy, aggressive, defecated in public, and beat the very few women amongst the crowd;

They screamed obscenities at Europeans and tried to steal any valuables or luggage from the Europeans;

Ninety percent of them were men aged between 18 and 45;

They grabbed children at random and used them as battering rams to force their way onto the train;

They fought with each other and people on the train in an indiscriminate manner;

Once boarded, they discussed in a relaxed tone whether they should rob the Europeans who were on the train with them (thinking that no one could understand what they were saying), saying that this would please Allah because the Europeans were all infidels;

The non-white invaders sitting in her compartment also discussed raping the Ukrainian girl because she “was not dressed like a proper woman”;

When the train was stopped and not allowed to go any further, the non-white invaders discussed seizing the Europeans and holding them hostage to try and force the train to continue;

They threw donated food around in protest, and all of them demanded money instead ■

[*The New Observer*](#)

Breach Of The Oath Of The Chancellor

Open Letter by Major General Schultze-Rhonhof:

Why Merkel Has To Step Down For The Benefit Of The German People

9 October 2015

A retired Major General of the Bundeswehr sharply criticises Merkel's policy on refugees: with her welcome messages she has triggered a tidal wave of mass immigration that has created incalculable harm for the German people of today and their descendants.

Four weeks ago, Gerd Schultze-Rhonhof, Major General of the Bundeswehr, subitted detailed proposals to Angela Merkel and her staff in order to avert damage to the German people in the ongoing refugee crisis.

Because nothing has happened in this matter, he now wrote a second open letter. He calls for Merkel's resignation and suggests that Horst Seehofer (Prime Minister of Bavaria) should assume office until the end of the legislative period. Again he combines a relentless analysis and concrete proposals.

His unabridged letter follows [translated from the German](#).

Dear Mrs. Chancellor,
After four weeks this is my second "Open Letter" to you regarding the tidal wave of mass immigration. The wave of helpfulness by ordinary German people, the devoted effort of thousands of altruistic auxiliaries, and the services of our administrations have initially obstructed the clear perception of the difficulties and limitations of our integration capabilities.

I took the liberty to notify you by letter of 11 September 2015 to the dangers of uncontrolled mass immigration to Germany and to make proposals for asylum rights, for controlling immigration, and for ending the ongoing wave of mass immigration.

Since then I have witnessed your honest but unsuccessful attempt to handle the situation. Since then I have observed that the mass influx of migrants continues unabated and entails quite different, ugly consequences which have not dawned on me four weeks ago.

Meanwhile, current estimates for the accumulated number of immigrants have added up to between 2 and 2.5 million: about 700,000 illegal but non-returned asylum seekers, about 300,000 migrants gone underground, and between 1 and 1.5 million new arrivals in 2015.

You have made no effective attempt so far to stop the tidal wave of immigration into Germany.

Pursuing your habit of very, very long deliberations you have wasted valuable time which you could have used to begin to avert further damage to our people.

Request For Your Resignation

I would therefore ask you to be so decent as to resign from your office, and I ask you and the Vice-Chancellor (by separate letter) to hand over government to the Chairman of the third governing party, Mr Horst Seehofer, until the next regular election.

Mr Seehofer and his State of Bavaria are hardest hit by the current wave of migration.

His thinking and his actions are quite obviously better aligned with Germany's national interest than yours.

As a father of four he is – in a natural way – more interested in the German future of coming generations in our country than you are.

Mr Seehofer is also experienced as a former minister in the federal government and as the head of a state government.

Given your indifferent attitude regarding Germany's identity and our self-preservation and given your

numerous sudden political reversals in the recent past, it is also unclear where you want to lead Germany during the current exceptional circumstances.

Accusations Against You

The main accusation against you is your breach of the oath of the Chancellor. You have sworn to work towards the benefit of the German people and to avert harm from the people. By tolerating and causing the tidal wave of immigration and by not being able to put an end to it, you have caused incalculable damage to the German people and its descendants. While some immigration may be desired you have rendered an absolutely negligible service to the German people with the minor number of immigrants who can actually be integrated.

To the detriment of the German people you have displayed a significant lack of moderation by not being able to balance the Christian duty for humanitarian aid to refugees on the one hand and your government's responsibility for our people on the other hand.

With your messages that Germany was an immigration country and that asylum seekers were welcome in Germany, you have triggered a wave of mass migration. This migration has caused additional suffering and tragedies.

To hide your failures you have spread the illusion that our country and our population were able to master the burden of mass immigration for federal and other public budgets, for domestic security, education and the social security system. You have thereby concealed the additional public debt load, the reduction in benefits for our population, the renovation of roads, waterways, bridges, and sewer systems which now remain undone and you have concealed the perpetual burdens for our children and grandchildren.

You have damaged the country's reputation and role in the EU because the tidal wave of immigrant you have caused has put all other EU states under pressure to act. Your demand for national immigration quotas is an attempt of illegitimate interference in the sovereignty of other states and the self-determination of other peoples. Just as the territories and boundaries of countries are

inviolable and may only be changed with the will of the populations concerned, so the constituent peoples themselves must not be changed through coercion and against the will of the populations concerned. Mass immigration is a change of a country's people. (The introduction of a quota-based solidarity mechanism was incidentally rejected by Germany as recently as 2013).

The other European countries either had to accept large migrant populations from their former colonies or have Russian minorities within their borders, also Czechs and Poles have negative experiences as multi-ethnic states. To try to force these countries and their peoples to accept new minorities reveals historical ignorance, German know-all attitude, or EU megalomania.

Instead of hoping for a "fair" distribution of migrants to other countries, you should have brought the true perpetrators of the current migrations on board with their target countries and with those who pay for it. The current "escape movements" from the Middle East and from the states around the Southern and Eastern Mediterranean and the opening of the Southern Mediterranean coast for refugees from Central and West Africa are primarily due to the regime-change-wars which the US, Britain, and France have started without being themselves threatened by these countries.

These three allies had the dictators in those now destabilized countries overthrown through secret service operations, mercenaries, bribes, and finally open warfare. Every time the result was civil wars, chaos, refugee camps, and the refugee flows of today. The United States for example have so far only agreed to accept 1,500 refugees in 2015 (other sources: 4,000). This is less than the state of Bavaria had to accommodate in a single day. Having the German people bear the brunt of these refugee flows is the opposite of "averting harm" as required by your oath.

You have reduced the immigration debate to the concept of "welcome culture" versus "xenophobia". By this you have suppressed and avoided a discussion of the fears and concerns of the population and secondly you have split the nation. The more appropriate concept would have been "guests" and "host". The German nation has hosted these guests

with a lot of willingness to help and has displayed great idealism. But you have not realized and have failed to clarify that hosts also do have rights.

Every host may determine how many guests he invites into his house. Every host has the right to determine the ways and customs in his house. Every host has the right to prevent a long-term take over of his house by the guests. Guests have to respect these rights and have to adapt in the host country. If this is not achievable by each individual host due to the "amorphous mass" of guests the host state needs to ensure this for its citizens. Of all this nothing is happening under your government.

Instead You Decree "Germany has to change"

You display an ambiguous attitude to safeguarding the German identity of our people. In 2010 you said that "multiculturalism has failed, absolutely failed" at the Germany Day of your party's youth organization (Junge Union). And now you try to sell to the German public the advantages of cultural enrichment resulting from large scale immigration.

You know very well that millions of immigrants will not shed their customs and their culture as long as they will live in ghettos. You know that ghetto-formation is inevitable with two million immigrants in just a few years. You know that further millions of immigrants are waiting to take advantage of our family re-unification permission within a few years. You know that the 80% Muslim share of migrants perceives our legal and moral liberalism as decadent and intends to "overcome" it.

In 2000 and thereafter you have dumped CDU party whip Friedrich Merz and his notion of a "primary culture" and today you ignore the plea of the Prime Ministers of Rhineland-Palatinate and Bavaria for a German "primary culture". The concept of a primary culture is at the core of the ability to integrate immigrants. The debate about a German primary culture and its obligatory nature for immigrants was a test of strength which you should not have dodged in 2000.

Our ideas about the separation of church and state, or of the equality between men and women were not accepted by all immigrants at the time. Our neglect of the German language in science, advertising and

music is not a sign of self esteem which would motivate immigrants to immediately learn German. Our constant self-portrayal as the "dark land of the sinister 12 years" and our turning a blind eye on centuries of brilliant cultural and other achievements also does not testify of love for ourselves.

Immigrants who see that the Germans in their heart have no self respect will certainly not want to belong to such a people. They just want German prosperity. You, Dr. Merkel, have done a great disservice to our ability to integrate immigrants by refusing to conduct a debate on the primary culture issue as proposed by Mr. Merz. In this crucial issue even today you act indifferent and against the backdrop of the current mass immigration I think you are not suited to be Chancellor.

You try to hide the additional burden of mass immigration behind alleged gains for the German economy, for the social security systems and the demographic deficit. The additional costs that are now given as 10 billion euros in the year, are a pure deception of the German taxpayer.

The new costs include far more for than housing refurbishments, permanent rentals, new construction, extensions of schools, day care centers, nursing homes and prisons, family maintenance, pocket money, health care costs, family reunification, additional staff for schools, kindergartens, employment services, agencies for refugees, police, translator, social workers, lawyers, courts, language courses, and homes for the elderly (a recent advertising booklet of the Federal Government "Deutschland Aktuell" Issue 4/2015 states on page 12: "65-year-old immigrants are among the population groups that are growing the fastest." This is followed by the indication that the care organizations have to adjust to foreign languages and religious needs). More recent estimates of the additional annual cost to federal, state and local governments are now at 40 billion euros.

Benefits to the national economy are limited. Employable migrants constitute a very small minority among immigrants. The less able will have to be supported by taxpayers. This confirms the thesis of Mr. Lafontaine (former Minister of Finance) that "profits are privatized while losses are socialized".

The influx of foreign workers is also questionable in other ways. Firstly suitable workers are often needed in their home countries for the development of their own economies. Their migration to Europe undermines our foreign aid programs.

Secondly, the domestic economy is primarily responsible for the employment and maintenance of the indigenous population. If and when the domestic economy needs to recruit from abroad, its primary objective, full employment, has been met. The secondary objective of increasing profits needs to be weighed against the costs to the German taxpayer as given above.

Incidentally, the proportion of current migrants, which can be incorporated into the German economy is minimal. In a medium sized German city an attempt to recruit workers from 300 "asylum seekers" has yielded 6 employable persons. In Sigmaringen local business have offered apprenticeships to more than 3,000 people, mostly young men, living in barracks. Only 8 migrants have taken advantage of this offer.

Whereas the President of the German Federation of Employers (Mr. Grillo) who demands an influx of foreign workers and obviously thinks more about private gains than socialized losses, the Presidents of the German Chambers of Commerce and Chambers of Trade Crafts warn against illusions about the suitability of migrants for the German economy.

The Danish Employers' Association has admitted this spring that the integration of migrants into the labor market has failed all across the board.

The demographic deficit is a home made problem that has been created over the last 40 years all the while it has been predicted with pinpoint accuracy by demographic scientists.

No German government, not even yours, has invested the necessary share of gross national product in the promotion of marriage, family formation, birth, young families, day care centers and day care staff. Instead, your predecessor governments have used checkbook diplomacy with tax payer money. Instead, we have pumped funds into countries that had long since overcome the status of "developing countries". Instead, on almost every trip abroad, German leaders

promise money to "poor" countries.

Instead, you are fraudulently delaying a Greek default at Germany's expense.

Instead, we are the largest net contributor to the EU, without taking discounts like the United Kingdom.

Instead, gender mainstreaming is promoted instead of educating boys and girls on the natural roles of fathers and mothers and making this choice desirable.

All this represents a vast area of your failure.

The demographic deficit is largely to blame on your predecessor governments. But your open "wallet" for immigrants proves that the money would have been available for young German families during your tenure.

With Germany's naval rescue operations in the Mediterranean you have aided and abetted mass migration and human smuggling from Africa because you have refused to issue the order to bring rescued migrants back to the African coast.

Your welcome messages to migrants have also sent a wrong signal to our own people. You proclaim that immigrants will be integrated in a short period of time. This faulty credo is constantly repeated by the media with acknowledgment, if not encouragement, by the Federal Chancellery. While some immigrants may be willing and capable of integration – and there are examples of that – the overwhelming majority of immigrants does not integrate and does not want to integrate.

A constrained housing market and limited public budgets are not sufficient for a complete distribution of immigrants among the German population. This will lead to new "language colonies" and ghettos, where next to the positives also unacceptable native customs will be practiced, e.g. female genital mutilations, forced marriages, honor killings, drug trafficking.

The current ghettoisation in Germany (as in France and England) shows that ghettos often morph into problem areas, where there is no integration, and where German police do not dare to move. Already now there is a immigrant accommodation in barracks

which are not entered by German police any more.

The intellectual abilities and illiteracy of many immigrants preclude an integration into the labor market and any real integration into society. There will be another class of dispossessed requiring ongoing subsistence.

The majority of migrants are Muslims. Many of them despise our liberal way of life and reject it decisively. Out of conviction they will not integrate.

With moderate immigration, the customs of the host country are slowly acquired by the guests as experience shows. With immigration by millions within a few years, the bad habits as well as the good are usually retained as identification with the homeland. Dr. Merkel, your helplessness regarding the current mass immigration will inflict permanent damage on domestic security and social peace in our country.

All of this is known to you and you try to conceal it. You downplay the issues raised, because you do not understand how to master them. Please make room for a politician who is obviously more suitable to solve our current problems.

The Ugly Side Effects

The majority of immigrants who come to us are certainly pleasant and ordinary people. However, with the massive influx of two million people there is also a share of shady persons in our country, that burden our social peace and domestic security.

Among the now recognizable ugly side effects of mass immigration many things are already in the process of settling and spreading. The masses of migrants not only bring their plight which they wish to leave behind, but also customs of which they believe that they would be tolerated in our liberal society.

All these phenomena are now widely reported on the Internet and by police officers and volunteers, but they are not mentioned in the German media which seem directed by a "wonderful" hand.

These ugly side effects are the "concealed part of reality":

- Rape in the environment of migrant

accommodations

- Forced prostitution in migrant accommodations
- Mass brawls between ethnicities, religions and clans with batons, tear gas and knives,
- Injuries and mobbing of police officers,
- Begging
- Occupations of churches to extort church asylum,
- Mobbing and insulting passersby as "Sh ... -Germans"
- Pushing German passersby from the sidewalk,
- Indecent assaults and harassing of women,
- Smashing of furniture to be moved to a more attractive city,
- Escape from lodging provided by authorities, from trains and buses, in order to escape registration,
- Vociferous complaints, if food does not correspond that in the country of origin,
- Complaints from migrants, if they have to wait in doctors' offices, as long as German patients
- Refusal of migrants to be directed by women in doctor's offices and in public offices,
- Massive, unpaid purchases from migrant lodging in internet trading,
- Refusal of migrant groups in shops to pay for your purchases.

That this list – according to ongoing government commentary – should be "xenophobic", says more about the relationship of our government to reality than about the list itself.

Even if these side effects are just ugly exceptions, exceptions from a number of 2 million fresh migrants are more than enough to poison the climate between guests and hosts.

The ugly side effects also include a very regrettable loss of democracy in Germany. These include gross violations of the fundamental right to freedom of expression and against the Christian value of truth.

This also includes a loss of confidence in the integrity of government, parliaments, political parties and media by a substantial portion of our population.

The fears and concerns of citizens about the sudden burdens are insulted as "racism, xenophobia and right wing".

You have poisoned the climate of discussion in Germany and have strangled the right to freedom of

expression. Policemen and teachers have told me about a ban on talking about and reporting on migrant behavior. Employees of a chain store company are on record as having been instructed not to report theft by migrants, so that their company does not appear as xenophobic.

These are conditions which we otherwise bemoan in authoritarian states. The loss of confidence results from the filtered and manipulated reporting on the dark side of immigration and on the horrendous permanent costs for federal, state, and local governments and our social security system. The whole lie usually begins with half a truth.

Obvious dangers

With rapidly increasing new immigration it is likely that the high expectations of many immigrants are not met. Discontent will eventually be discharged. Already there are distribution struggles among various migrant groups and demands to German authorities, aid organizations, aid workers and security forces.

The union of police employees has pointed out the emergence of violent, criminal structures within migrant accommodations. In some barracks which are shared by soldiers and migrants, arms and ammunition are stored. It can not be ruled out that migrants obtain access to weapons in order to force their demands. This would confront the Bundeswehr and police with problems which they could hardly solve under German law.

The majority of migrants are young and healthy men, even if the media prefer to show women and small children. These men have left their wives in their home countries. Some of them will eventually become active sexually in Germany. When migrants from barracks and military training courses are distributed to villages and towns, our population will be confronted with the dangers.

Imminent Consequences

In addition to a desired immigration of professionals and the justified immigration of asylum seekers there are foreseeable negative consequences and implications of the current mass immigration. With your oath of office you have committed "to avert danger from the German people", which includes

timely measures to ensure that no such adverse consequences occur.

The foreseeable, negative consequences are:

- More migrant waves from Afghanistan, Iraq and from Syrians via Turkey,
- The growth of parallel societies
- The increase in "lawless areas" that are not entered by German police,
- The spread of civil war-like clashes between rival immigrant groups from their home countries to Germany,
- The increase of terrorist, extremist and anti-Semitic groups in Germany,
- The increase in organized crime,
- The financial burden for future generations as permanent liabilities,
- The end of deleveraging of public budgets,
- Overburdening of the social security system,
- Congestion of the health system,
- Housing shortage in urban areas and rent increases,
- Displacement of less able German jobseekers from the labor market,
- Additional burden for schools and universities,
- Displacement the German liberal and Christian primary culture,
- The spreading of Islamic legal concepts,
- The "overturning" of majorities between old-established and immigrant populations and between practicing Christian and avowed Muslim population with foreseeable consequences for the law and the customs in Germany.

Independent Reviews

Former Chancellor Helmut Schmidt: "We can not digest more foreigners, all hell will be let loose".

Former judge at the Federal Constitutional Court Udo Di Fabio: "What we are witnessing today is not a rush of asylum claimants. It is not possible to be entitled to political asylum when you enter Germany by land, because Article 16 (a) of the Constitution excludes safe third countries from asylum. ... In this sense we see no rush of asylum claimants, ... these are prospective immigrants, but very few have a subjective right to asylum as guaranteed by our Constitution".

A German diplomat from Africa: "The young men

coming from Eritrea are almost all draft dodgers and deserters."

The chairman of the German Bishops' Conference, Cardinal Reinhard Marx: "Germany should be not an island of prosperity."

Bishop of Szeged-Csanád (Hungary) László Kiss-Rigó: "These are not refugees. That's an invasion. They come here with the cry Allahu Akbar and want to conquer us".

The archbishop of Mosul (Iraq) Amel Shimon Nona to Europe: "Our suffering is a foretaste of what you will suffer."

Your Four Measures

You have announced the following four measures to deal with the mass immigration on 4 October 2015:

- To combat the causes of flight. This was tried in vain in Africa for 50 years. The population explosion with 30 million new people per year will frustrate such attempts in the future.

- To have a "fair" distribution of migrants to the countries of Europe. This will be rejected by the majority of states due to their own experiences with foreign national minorities.

- To limit the influx into Europe. At the Mediterranean border of Europe this is not possible with current methods.

- To speed up the asylum procedure. This is not feasible without abolishing legal remedy currently offering three separate legal instances and will be ineffective without scrapping a host of deportation exceptions.

You obviously have no plan to end the great migrations on the "routes to prosperity and security" and to finally limit the influx of asylum seekers.

Your "actions" are illusions and window dressing. This will not dry up the migrant flow nor will the majority of rejected asylum seekers be more likely to leave or ever head back to their home countries. Your demand for a European solution is unsuccessful and it is also your gamble on time for your political survival. You are playing this game to the detriment

of the German people, whose interests you should represent. Your oft repeated "We will manage" makes you look like a motorist who refuses to turn around in a dead end road.

Necessary measures

I propose to a new government that they declare that Article 6 and 16 of the Constitution, the laws, UN conventions, EU regulations and national regulations, which hinder the solution of the immigrant problem, are suspended, are to be renegotiated, or are to be changed due to "frustration of purpose". The "frustration of purpose" consists of the current European emergency of a mass migration which simply was not envisioned when the laws were drafted.

The experience of recent months shows that many European countries want to move along similar paths. Citizens of all non-German states are also more interested in their national interests and their national identities, as opposed to the people of Germany and Austria, which have largely lost their national and Christian identity. So this project is promising.

I propose further to prevent the migration of peoples, to practice fast-track procedures for asylum requests, to grant refuge only to war refugees from combat zones, and to repatriate the mass of the migrants as soon as possible.

For this purpose, I recommend:

- That the application of the right of asylum is reduced to the cases laid down in the Constitution,
- That the Constitution is adjusted so that the right to family reunification and the right to multistage court remedies be abolished (this is how Switzerland processes asylum procedures within 48 hours in general)
- That the asylum procedures for African migrants are settled in North Africa or in the countries of origin,
- That immigration by ship across the Mediterranean is prevented according to the Australian model, (Australia's government has published in all countries of origin newspaper and television ads where it has announced that asylum applications will only be accepted in the local consulates and that boat people are generally returned. The Australian navy takes refugee boats and ships "on the hook" and takes distressed migrants on board and moves them back to

the next coast on the opposite shore)

- That members of non-EU Balkan countries and from Asian unrest and poverty areas have their asylum or immigration applications processed only in German diplomatic missions in their home countries, and that nationals from these countries and territories without positive asylum or immigration decisions will be repatriated immediately, and that this shall be published in the countries of origin,
- That only asylum and immigration seekers from war zones as currently Syria are handled as before, but not from refugee camps outside the warring states
- That refugees from war zones after the end of hostilities must leave the country again, if they have been here for less than 5 years,
- That migrants and asylum seekers be deported immediately with their families in case of serious criminal offenses,
- That immigration is generally controlled by the Canadian model and driven by German interest, (selection by annual German immigration needs, German language skills, work experience and professional needs in Germany, educational status and

age. Australia and Denmark have similar admission criteria),

- That social benefits are canceled except for widows and orphans' pensions, for those remaining in the country of origin

- That the family support for marriages within our country, at births, for house building of young couples and for purchases of family needs and for major equipment will be greatly increased.

I ask you once again to resign as Chancellor, and not to block the way for a solution of the international migration crisis.

Sincerely,

Gerd Schultze-Rhonhof

PS: This letter has not been discussed or coordinated with any active politician ■



General Gerd Schultze-Rhonhof

White Genocide Quotes

Abolish the White Race – By Any Means Necessary”

“The key to solving the social problems of our age is to abolish the white race.”

“so long as the white race exists, all movements against racism are doomed to fail.”

“Treason to whiteness is loyalty to humanity.” source – <http://racetractor.org/abolish.html>

“We’ll keep bashing the dead White males, and the live ones, and the females too, until the social construct known as the White race is destroyed. Not deconstructed, but destroyed.”

“The goal of abolishing the White race is on its face so desirable that some may find it hard to believe that it could incur any opposition other than from committed White supremacists.”

[Noel Ignatiev](#)

We have got to eliminate the gringo, and what I mean by that is if the worst comes to the worst, we have got to kill him” “Our devil has pale skin and blue eyes”

Jose Angel Gutierrez, Chicano activist and university professor. source – from a speech reproduced on page 323 of “Occupied America,” by Rodolfo Acuna.

“If white men were not complaining, it would be an indication we weren’t succeeding and making the inroads that we are.”

Arthur Sulzberger Junior, owner of The New York Times
source – ["Accuracy in Media"](#)

“The white man was created a devil, to bring chaos upon this earth.”

Malcolm X, 1953 speech: Malcolm X with Alex Haley.
source – The Autobiography of Malcolm X (1965)

And then finally I want to say that we need one idea, and we’re not thinking about a solution to the problem ... And the one idea is, how we are going to exterminate White people because that in my estimation is the only conclusion I have come to. We have to exterminate white people off the face of the planet.”

[Kamau Kambon](#), former professor of African-American Studies.
source – ["Carolina Journal Online"](#)

“There is plenty to blame whiteness for. There is no crime that whiteness has not committed against people of color. There is no crime that we have not committed even against ourselves ... We must blame whiteness for the continuing patterns today that deny the rights of those outside of whiteness and which damage and pervert the humanity of those of us within it.”

Jeff Hitchcock, co-founder of the Center for the Study of White American Culture.

“this will arguably be the third great revolution of America, if we can prove that we literally can live without having a dominant European culture.”

Bill Clinton, US President 1992 to 2000 source – June 11, 1997,
an interview with a group of black columnists

Mozart, Pascal, Boolean algebra, Shakespeare, parliamentary government, baroque churches, Newton, the emancipation of women, Kant, Balanchine ballets, et al. don't redeem what this particular civilization has wrought upon the world. The white race is the cancer of human history.”

Susan Sontag, writer, filmmaker, professor. Source – Partisan Review, Winter 1967, p. 57.

“Let's not forget what the origin of the problem is. There is no place in modern Europe for ethnically pure states. That's a 19th century idea and we are trying to transition into the 21st century, and we are going to do it with multi-ethnic states.”

Wesley Clark, U.S. general, ex-NATO Supreme Commander, talking about the NATO bombing of Serbia, 1999.

“The goal is to meet the challenge of racial interbreeding...”
“Not to intermarry racially is bad for the survival of the country.”

Nicholas Sarkozy, ex-President of France.

“We still nurse a sense of our homogeneity and difference from others, and that's precisely what the European Union, in my view, should be doing its best to undermine.”

Peter Sutherland, EU Commissioner and head of the World Trade Organization.

The man of the future will be of mixed race [... it] will replace the diversity of peoples with a diversity of individuals.”

Richard Nikolaus von Coudenhove-Kalergi, Austrian Politician (1894 – July 27, 1972),
founder/ president of European Union *Praktischer Idealismus*, 1925, pages 20, 23, 50

“Arab migration has been the best thing that's happened to Europe in the past 50 years. Arabs in Europe are a fact of life. It's time we started to accept that there's no way to block the migration of Chinese, Pakistanis or Arabs to Europe. ... It's true, Europe won't be what it once was, but that's a good thing. ... [The] more migrants from Africa and Asia who arrive, the better off Europe will be.

Sooner or later, their children and grandchildren will marry into veteran European families and change the demographics of their countries. Europe will be different.”

Yigal Ben-Nun, Israeli Writer

“Europe runs the risk of turning black from illegal immigration, it could turn into Africa. We need support from the European Union to stop this army trying to get across from Libya, which is their entry point. At the moment there is a dangerous level of immigration from Africa into Europe and we don't know what will happen. What will be the reaction of the white Christian Europeans to this mass of hungry, uneducated Africans? We don't know if Europe will remain an advanced and cohesive continent or if it will be destroyed by this barbarian invasion. We have to imagine that this could happen but before it does we need to work together.” **Colonel Muammar Gaddafi**, former military ruler of Libya.



South African whites are barred from land ownership, state services, public housing, food benefits, and government jobs. White women cannot give birth in state-owned hospitals. One third of whites were forced to abandon their homes. There are 119 laws barring whites from surviving in South Africa. [Thousands of white people and white farmers have been killed by black attackers.](#) The numbers of farm murders have increased this year and are at their highest to date. <http://stopwhitegenocideinsa.blogspot.com/2015/04/racist-bee-black-only-rules-are-getting.html?>


The Mission of The South Africa Family Relief Project (SAFRP) is to collect and distribute monetary and physical goods donations to be used to provide housing, food, clothing, basic necessities and mental health counseling services to crime victims and families living in poverty in South Africa. Our goal is to ease the pain and bridge the gaps for the increasingly discriminated against minority population suffering during the post-apartheid government, which is causing rapid increases in unemployment and crime victimization.

There are many thousands of people in squatter camps that lack even the basic necessities. With the recent [employment law changes](#), minorities are almost excluded from the current job market and these people do not receive any government assistance. The post-apartheid system is truly discriminatory and real people are suffering from it greatly.

Funds, physical goods and services will be offered to qualified individuals and families, as defined by organization members within South Africa. Monetary donations will be meticulously tracked with donors publicly listed on our website, unless donors wish to remain anonymous.

To Donate <http://www.safrpsa.org/donate-money/>

or through facebook





Dissident Racism Part 2: Above the Fruited Plain

Pastor Mark Downey

Scripture Reading: Ezekiel 22:29-31

America was once a beautiful nation; spacious blue skies, majestic mountains above the fertile valleys of apple and cherry orchards and as far as one could see, amber waves of wheat fields. The skies are still expansive, but disfigured with the scratch marks of chemtrails, dropping the sorceries of barium salts, aluminum oxide and mercury to a former aesthetic earth. The once pristine mountains are barren of great glaciers and year round snow packs. Just last week (7-7-15), an ice cave in Washington state collapsed killing a hiker. The fruited plains are sprayed with pesticides and organic is now being considered unpatriotic. The parable of the wheat field is not lost in my reminiscing; *“the Bread of Life”* is Jesus Christ, the great *“I am”* (Exodus 3:14; John 6:35). Man, the White man, cannot live on literal bread alone, *“but by every word that proceeds out of the mouth of God”* (Mt. 4:4); and it may be the Bad News of the gospels for churchianity when they hear the Christian Identity message. The grains of wheat are Israelites, but I know the blasphemy of those who say they are wheat and are nothing of the kind, but are the synagogue of Christ killers. *“The field is the world, the good seed are the children of the kingdom, but the tares [weeds] are the children of wickedness”* Mt. 13:38. The enemy of the White race has sown multiculturalism in the nations of true Israel. The “harvest” of these unwanted elements, understood in their proper context, would be considered “racist” by these same

enemies mixing good seed with bad seed in the field. The fruits of the Holy Spirit have been traded in for forbidden fruits, evil fruit that is corrupt and spoiled, having zero nutritional/spiritual value. Sodomites were once referred to as “fruits” and from the recent Supreme Court decision, placed same-sex marriage above thousands of years of God's Law, thus giving “above the fruited plain” a new and perverse meaning; sin is now protected by judicial fiat. A genetically modified offspring or mongrel, if you will, will naturally gravitate to social deviants, solidifying their social clout, because both are unnatural life forms. God will not leave the earth spoiled with aberrations of His Creation like Sodom and Gomorrah nor trashed with a plethora of septic sins. The bride of Christ wears the White of ethnic cleansing, prepared for her groom.

Another song, 'What a Wonderful World,' sung by negro Louis Armstrong in 1967, has turned out to be not so wonderful almost 50 years later. Wikipedia paints its intentions as “an antidote for the increasingly racially and politically charged climate of everyday life in the United States, the song also has a hopeful, optimistic tone with regard to the future, with reference to babies being born into the world and having much to look forward to.” The song was written by two jews. One stanza seems to have gone in just the opposite direction they dreamed

of. It goes like this: The colors of the rainbow, so pretty in the sky, Are also on the faces of people going by. I see friends shaking hands, sayin', "How do you do?" They're really sayin', "I love you." The 2015 version should be: The colors of the rainbow, so pretty in the sky, Are also on the faggot flags marchin' by. I see bleeding hearts high-fiving, saying "how ya doin' dude? They're really sayin', "it's my right to be crude."

Several Identity Christian scholars have convincingly debunked one of the most popular, but unbiblical and nonsensical clichés that has contaminated the church. Nicodemus not only misunderstood Jesus, but so called "born again" judeo-Christians misunderstand John 3:3 i.e. "*Jesus answered and said unto him, Verily, verily, I say unto thee, Except a man be born again, he cannot see the kingdom of God.*" The KJV is a poor translation and is better understood in it's racial import from the original manuscripts to mean "*begotten from above.*" The word "again" is not in the text. A second birth is not suggested figuratively in the text nor does it imply a future tense. A Scofield margin note implies the regeneration of any biped is merely having faith in Christ crucified and then becoming "a partaker of the divine nature of Christ Himself," whatever absurdity that may mean. However, the difference between the racially pure Adamite and the mongrel is that we have the "*breath of life*" (Gen. 2:7) i.e. the Spirit of God; the mongrel does not have God's Spirit, and consequently is impossible for him to spiritually discern the truth of God's Word. That's why when people tell me I should listen to that negro Pastor Manning or jew-clown Brother Nathaniel, I am compelled to tell them that there is nothing they have to say that I need to hear. There will always be enmity between two disparate seedlines, because the racial alien was not created by God, was not conceived in the thoughts of God as part of His plan for the ages and is an expendable entity, just like the tares of Mt. 13; hence, "*he shall not see the kingdom of God.*" If you believe "*All*

Israel will be saved" (Romans 11:26), then you have to believe also that they/we will see the Kingdom. The Greek word for 'born' is *gennao* (G1080) and means conceive or beget (when used of men) and physical birth (when used of women). Unless a person is begotten of the Adamic seedline according to the original sowing, conceived of God, that person will never perceive the Kingdom, let alone being in it.

When God declared, "*For thou art a holy people to the Lord thy God; and the Lord thy God chose thee to be to Him a peculiar people beyond [above, KJV] all nations that are upon the face of the earth*" in Deut. 7:6 (LXX), He was making an exclusive separation of the White race and all other humanoids. Not only that, but justifying White Supremacy, something that our race is in dire denial of i.e. we are above non-Israelites, but that fortunate station in life can quickly reverse itself with the legitimization of sin. The latter (humanoids) were never meant to be understood as part of God's Creation of 'kind after kind' and therefore could not be "good" or conceived of in the mind of God. "*For My thoughts are not your thoughts, Nor are your ways My ways,*" declares the Lord" Isaiah 55:8. "*God hath from the beginning chosen you [Israel] to salvation*" II Thes. 2:13. The idea of universalism squarely comes from the wickedness of man's imagination, which conceives of an inclusive god not found in the Bible, and hence the mantra of 'God loves everybody.' It is man playing God, deciding who is begotten from above, meaning Heaven, the abode of God and when man chooses God. This sort of outrageous insubordination deserves nothing short of dissident racism from God's chosen people (and this can never be the jews, because they are the world's greatest promoters of multicultural diversity in White societies through the adulteration of the Word of God... the leaven of the Pharisees). We must make the clear distinction between the 10,000 manuscripts comprising God's Word written by White men (wheat) and the Masoretic translations written by jewish rabbis

(tares), which constitute the majority of Bibles in circulation. We can find the truth by going to the root meaning of words and whether they were recorded in the manuscripts and rendered honestly, retaining the inspiration from God. If the racism is missing or adulterated, then you can surmise that an evil person has planted something that does not belong there. Obviously, the admonition not to add or diminish from the Word (Rev. 22:18; Deut. 12:32) was rejected with contempt by the rabbis.

In the Divine Court of Justice we hold the jew and their antichrist minions in contempt of Jesus Christ. Why? In the cultural war of the 1960's much of the Bolshevik agitation, such as civil rights, abortion, drugs, immorality, decadent art and music was in the name of 'free speech,' but now that these cultural Marxist have actually penetrated the upper echelons of government, they now elect to silence Christian voices who are exercising their free speech also, especially in the increasing realm of non-White violence directed against White people, the rampant consumption of drugs (legal and illegal) and the putrefaction of the moral climate. In a democracy, otherwise known as mobocracy, the majority rules and the minority dissents. However, democracy is merely a stepping stone for oligarchies, kleptocracies and communist tyranny, that precludes Christianity and the White race, and thus the dissent of a White minority. It's not that we are still the majority demographically in the United States, but that we are racially disenfranchised from the body politic. I don't restrict that to voting for the lesser of two evils, but to exercise our God given inalienable rights without fear of intimidation or retaliation. Some White people are routinely fired from their jobs because they say something politically incorrect. Sometimes they are ordered to 'sensitivity training,' to kow-tow to the actors of fictional persecutions. Since the alleged and strange shooting-up of a negro church by a White guy in Charleston, SC, it seems as if Pandora's Box has been opened and all the demons of censorship have

been unleashed to eradicate anything resembling White history. If you cannot see that our heritage is being erased from the national consciousness, then you must be blind and deaf. And what pray tell is a black "church"? There is no black ecclesia. There are no black "called out ones."

The Confederate flag was first on their list as if the flag itself pulled the trigger and allegedly shot all those negros. Cliches abound to 'bring down the flag' as if it were the Berlin Wall. Both are symbolic, but hardly identical. I've read a lot of arguments for the 'stars and bars' and the denial that it has anything to do with race or slavery in the context of the Civil War or contemporary applications. I display the battle flag, not as a sentimental 'Southern thing,' but symbolic of my racism and dissent to the new Berlin Walls, built by Bolsheviks, as a social construct in American political correctness. I say, "Bring down the altars of Baal." Just for the record, Lincoln agitated the South for war, just as Roosevelt provoked Japan to war, and the jewish war mongers are saber rattling against White Christian America today. Lincoln wanted a big slice of the pie from the labor and product of the South, namely cotton, that was being exported to Europe, but refused to being taxed into a vassal state and so seceded from the Union, much like the colonies revolted against England. Their wealth was being sucked dry by jewish vampires. The slavery was economic; it was only later that historical revisionist changed the truth of history to focus on the negro slave. The jew brought the negro slaves to America and the jew brought the war of Northern aggression to the South. Likewise, Pearl Harbor was not a sneak attack, it was allowed to kill Americans as an excuse to declare war on Germany. According to major polls, an overwhelming majority (over 80%) of Americans believe 9/11 was an 'inside job.' The number of jewish actors complicit in 9/11 is astounding.

Just to put things in perspective, we need to clarify the strange gods of judaism in contradistinction to the

One God of Israel. Judaism is not monotheistic. Pantheism, the belief in many gods, is the religious mutation that now contaminates what America was, a White Christian nation, as jews opened the floodgates for strangers and their strange gods at the turn of the 20th century. Elizabeth Dilling, in her book ['The Plot Against Christianity'](#) said, "The Unity of God to which they [jews] refer is actually the oneness of the Pantheistic "en sof," a mass without a "Chief Engineer." This is the "unity of nature" in which the Pagans believed. For every form of spirit invocation, and for the deification of man, that is Judaistic Talmudism, a religion of polytheism, or many gods. Slyly, the polytheists say what they mean. We do not understand them. Take any of the modern heresies, the "new" religions our tired Christians like to think were just evolved; you will find them all in Talmudism and its collection of ancient Old Testament paganism. The Jewish Cabala with its non-existence of evil, its deification of man, is a source book for modern "isms," the sources of which are largely unknown in modern times." The Jewish religion is not static, it is always changing colors like a chameleon to accommodate the Jew in any new environment in which they are the object of xenophobia.

Our ancestors, the ancient Israelites, faced similar circumstances. In the book of Judges, chapter 6, Gideon is called of God to destroy the altar to Baal which his father possessed. The thinking of Gideon must have been that it wasn't good enough to simply ignore worshipping at that altar, but that he must bring it down and destroy it. His dad, Joash, had to answer to the angry townspeople the next day about their adorable idolatry being smashed to smithereens. They wanted to kill Gideon and his fellow saboteurs, but Joash quieted the mob and told them not to take matters into their own hands, insisting that this was a contest between the Lord and Baal. Elijah posed a similar challenge between the gods and God. Gideon's survival after his righteous vandalism of the

pagan altars would be an indictment against Baal. God even gave Gideon the confidence before he began his nocturnal commando raid on the altars of Baal and comforted him with the words, "*Peace to thee; fear not: thou wilt not die*" v. 6. When the deed was done, Gideon built another altar and called it "*The Lord is peace*" (v. 24). It was not to serve as a place of sacrifice, but to be a memorial and a witness of the revelation of God which had been made to Gideon, and of the proof which he had received that God was peace, i.e., would not destroy Israel in wrath. For the assurance of peace which He had given to Gideon, was also a confirmation of His announcement that Gideon would conquer the Midianites in the strength of God, and deliver Israel from its oppressors. This Old Testament story was for our edification should Baal rise again in whatever disguise the Jewish wardrobe department devises.

By the same token, when we raise our standard, the battle flag of the Confederacy, it is not reliving the past or a re-enactment, it is a symbol of our right, as free White men on the soil, to be the dissident voices opposed to the idols of Baal. We are just as opposed to the National Association for the Advancement of Canaanite People as was Joshua... almost; he didn't quite finish the job did he? So the battle is still before us to this day and it is manifested once again in spiritual warfare; between the God of separation and racial purity and the gods of integration and multiculturalism. Let's be clear about one thing: the Canaanites and the Israelites were not of the same race. The enemy who calls for bringing down the Confederate flag, knows very well that the design is not just an ordinary X. The Cross of Christ and the diagonal cross of Jacob-Israel i.e. St. George's Cross of England and St. Andrew's Cross of Scotland respectively, in 1606 merged, and became known as the Union Jack. The flag was called Jack out of respect for King James, which name in French is Jacques, in Latin Jacobus, and in Hebrew Jacob, which appears to be something more than a mere


coincidence in view of our claim to be the literal descendants of Jacob. Likewise many Scandinavian countries employ the Cross design in their national flags. It's not a geographical thing considering the common symbolism found in each, and not so surprisingly that the same racial stock is indigenous to each of these Aryan nations. When Jacob-Israel blessed the two sons of Joseph, Ephraim and Manasseh (which Christian Identity identifies as Great Britain and America today), he said, *“Let my name be named upon them”* Gen. 48:16 and as he did so, his arms were crossed over the two forming a diagonal cross. The boys would become a great multitude (Gen. 13:16) along with Abraham and Isaac's blessings, carried from generation to generation to God's Covenant People, saying *“And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing”* Gen. 12:2. The sons of Isaac became Isaac-sons i.e. Saxons.

The Confederate flag was and is a Christian flag and to this day stands for freedom and victory; no White man could be sold as a slave under this banner, for if any den of vipers dared to do so, as Andrew Jackson (son of Jack, Jacob) promised, *“I intend to rout you out, and by the eternal God, I will rout you out.”* In Rev. 12 we see a woman who is a metaphor for Israel, and the dragon who represents the eternal adversary of the White race, the satanic universalist/jew/catholic. *“And the dragon was angered by the woman and went off to make war on the remnant of her offspring, on those who keep the commandments of God and hold to the testimony of Jesus”* Rev. 12:17. The antichrist has not completely destroyed Christianity or the church as a whole, although through their talmud-vision (TV) have deceived the world by it's sorceries, and thus Christians are hyphenated and mesmerized; in a dormant state as far as the New Covenant taking affect on their souls goes. At this point in time, we can see a fulfillment of prophecy with the children of

darkness directing their enmity against true Christians, the Christian with a racial consciousness, by labeling them “racist”! This is a word that has been demonized to the point of being a leper, having mutated into a contagious flesh-eating virus that will turn you into a puddle of muck. Aside from the war of words, my Webster's 7th Collegiate Dictionary defines 'racism' as “a belief that race is the primary determinant of human traits and capacities and that racial differences produce an inherent superiority of a particular race.” It's a “belief” that race determines who and what we are and that “racial differences” are just that i.e. we are different. Isn't that what God is telling us? *“You only have I chosen among all the families of the earth”* Amos 3:2. If there were no difference, then God would have chosen all the families of the earth. Now the change agents want the word 'racism' to mean more than the aforementioned and supplant 'belief' with 'behavior' in reference to the proverbial 'hate' that is likewise redefined to mean more than 'opposition'; the Orwellian newspeak of jewish psychiatrists includes whatever rabbinical self-serving spin that suits their fancy for the moment, identical to talmudic manipulations of reality.

Therefore, when they speak of racism and hate, they equate it to some inflammatory headline atrocity, which they themselves may have conspired to happen.

This was a well known technique of northern newspapers during Reconstruction, in the aftermath of the fratricidal Civil War, to hire scalawags (Southern race traitors who supported desegregation and opposed Christian morals) and carpetbaggers (Northern scoundrels, agitators for profit) to commit heinous acts while



impersonating the Ku Klux Klan, a guerrilla militia opposed to the draconian occupation of their states, which had become military districts and their homes violated under the 3rd Amendment. Most people are unaware of this segment of American history, but after the war, the White man was disenfranchised from voting or holding public office, from owning property or bearing arms, while the negro was given all these things. Lest I forget, the Confederate battle flag was outlawed. It was a terrible time of White women being raped by blacks, of thievery, of corrupt government and poverty, which is what the Klan objected to ferociously and was succeeding to reclaim their free speech for what was right. The Klan, actually veterans of the Confederacy, found themselves between a rock and a hard place. On one front they were dealing with criminals, carpetbaggers and negroes gone feral, in their efforts to bring law and order back to their communities; whereas on the other front, they were trying to resolve the issue of impersonators committing all kinds of atrocities in their name. When the terrorism against the South subsided because of the efforts of the Klan, the northern press, mostly a political arm of abolitionists, began sensationalizing and exaggerating either fictional events or factual ones staged by the papers themselves. It was a very violent time in America, because of Jewish greed and the love of personal gain. During Reconstruction there were an estimated 50,000 casualties, as the Klan grew in ranks to 400,000 members. The Feds found themselves powerless to stop the rise of the South once again and President Grant arbitrated an agreement with Gen. Nathan Bedford Forrest to restore full citizenship rights to White Southerners and that they could govern themselves if the Klan would disband, and in 1870 they ceased to exist... for that era!

We have entered a new era, in which the White man throughout the fruited plain is again being demonized and maligned by the descendants or heirs of abolition, which has now become a major racket and shake-

down industry. Those Judaized Christian who worship the Jew and Negro Baals, they leave unmolested, except for being in the wrong place at the wrong time when the heathens rage; and wonder what did they do to provoke the savage into a violent animal. These days it doesn't take much for the mob to explode, seeking White punching bags. But, perhaps that's the only thing that will awaken a bleeding heart liberal Caucasian (might as well throw in retarded kosher conservatives as well). The Baal priests are the Potter and the pew sitters clay. We should not ignore the last part of verse 17 of Rev. 12 either, which says "*And he [the dragon] stood upon the sand of the sea,*" which is the law of the seas making a beachhead for their admiralty jurisdiction i.e. talmudic case law replacing God's Law as the law of the land. Can you hear the foundations of the godless global economy cracking and snapping? It is dependent upon the mercantile commerce of Babylon shipping cargoes via the oceans. No ships to transport goods, no riches.

The king of Tyre exclaimed his haughty attitude in Ezek. 28:2 in the same manner as the "*merchants of the earth*" today, saying "*I am a God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas.*" The captain of a ship on the high seas is the law and that concept has carried over into the Admiralty courtrooms of America today with black robed devils. "*In one hour such wealth is made desolate, In this way also, every ship navigator, everyone traveling in a ship to places, and the ship captains, and everyone who does business at sea, stood from a distance, And cried when they saw the smoke of her burning, saying, What city is like unto this great city! And they cast dust on their heads, and cried, weeping and mourning, saying, How terrible, how terrible it is for the great city, where all who had ships at sea became rich from her wealth, because it has been destroyed in a single hour!... Rejoice over her fate, O heaven and saints and ambassadors and prophets, for God has passed the judgment of your condemnation of*

her! Then one powerful angel picked up a stone like a huge millstone, threw it into the sea, and said, With this kind of sudden violent force Babylon the great city will be thrown down and it will never be found again!" Rev. 18:17-21. We must condemn Babylon in no uncertain terms and the destruction of her international banking will be certain.

During the 4th of July holiday, I heard on the news something about a skirmish in downtown Cincinnati, late in the evening just after a Reds baseball game with a hip-hop concert and fireworks entertaining an additional 3000 people. I didn't think much about it other than the steady diet of evening news that is always topped with negro violence and then the same old mushy media propaganda. But, our brother Joe sent me an email informing me that the incident was not reported as to what really happened, although there was a Freudian Slip initially saying that it was a black on White hate crime, which you never hear and is why it was immediately retracted. I can only imagine the reprimand that the intern received, who was filling in for his Jewish news boss who must have had the day off. The Jewish media reported that "a fight broke out among a group of youths" and "Several witnesses reported people setting off fireworks in trash cans, and throwing fireworks and other objects at police officers." End of story right? One police officer decided to tell his side of the story and it wasn't on the Jewish media, but is now on the internet and causing a stir, which I'll get to in a minute.

This is what the officer said and I've edited it for brevity, "So after my 4th of July night from hell I thought I would post the truth as to what went on last night in Downtown Cincinnati. Many news outlets although they were there will fail to report... The Truth. The truth is I'm sitting in the district catching up on reports when an officer needs assistance comes out over the radio on Fountain Square. My partner and I scream down there to help out. I get down there to see glass bottles flying everywhere from a mob of out of control misguided youths. Okay that was a nice

way of saying a bunch of thug idiots. They thought it would be appropriate to throw these bottles at police officers. While dodging these bottles I found one officer that was actually punched in the face by one of these assholes. Luckily he was apprehended. This was just getting started. We were trying to keep everyone under control and push everyone into one area to get them off of Fountain Square. So then is when the crowd got even further crazy, they began throwing large fireworks at us. While trying to figure out if fireworks or gunshots, usually you could tell, but we weren't taking any chances. I look down 5th St. to see a few of my brothers and sisters trying to apprehend an individual and begin chasing him. I immediately give chase and even though I'm getting a little older still catch the guy and he gets the 5 second taser ride. This seems easy enough right...well now we've got the whole crowd bearing down on us all with their phones out video taping...or throwing stuff at us. (Later found out that this guy also punched a police officer in the face) We get this guy in custody, and it's the second year in a row I have a tasing in this area on July 4th...guess it's just not my day. So then we form into riot formation with helmets on and begin passing out the shields. Honestly this was the first time I got to partake in this. So we see ahead a scuffle begin and think it's just a fight. Well not so much, it was like 40 v. 1. I saw 40 v. 1, it was 40 black youths stomping the crap out of one unlucky white male. He didn't do anything to deserve this beating except be white. I'm going to skip all the rhetoric and just say don't we all matter??? This just pissed us all off. Time to rescue or at least try to get these thugs off of him. So we were sent in with shields and arrest team to do a victim rescue. Feel bad for the guy since he nor anyone deserved a beat down like that for no reason. The next hour or so was just getting these assholes out of the



area and back to where they came from. I hope every one of the people who threw a bottle, fired a handgun, threw fireworks at us, and most importantly beat that poor guy within inches of his life get what they deserve...in this life or the next. To make matters worse it was like a perfect storm with the Reds game getting let out at the same time we were for a lack of a better phrase, being attacked. I saw little kids holding their parents hands and parents looking at us like what the hell is going on? They had no clue what was going down nor should they have to expect seeing what they saw. I felt like I had to tell the truth and get the word out there from one of the men on the front line. We as an American Society and Cincinnatians need to get our shit together because these types of interactions are going to increase. I say it again, this stuff is increasing not only here at home but across the country. We need to come together and fight back.” Not only was I surprised at the candor of this officer, but felt a twinge of pride that he spoke out. Not only did he speak out, the website that carried his eyewitness account is getting a great deal of feedback, which raises the bar for what I'm talking about today.

Here is an array of some of the remarks from mostly White people and their gut wrenching comments (Warning, graphic language ahead):

Samuel said, “It's disgusting, and these kids are the future. I can only imagine what sort of animals they will produce.”

Rob said, “Sniper rifles would have made the rescue much easier and safer. Drop a couple and the rest of the pack would have fled.”

Gayle said, “I am sure that none of their parents show any respect for authorities either. I have friends that are on the force in the city and they tell me they arrest a criminal in the afternoon and see them on the streets later that night laughing at them. This will only get better if we start bringing the voice of reason back into our society and stop worrying about what is

politically correct and who we might offend.”

Ken said, “Ok I will say it, these punks are NIGGERS.”

Chris said, “I am white, and I am assuming you are too by your racist comment of, “Blacks in this city have gotten out of control.”? It's ignorant white people like you that make ignorant comments like that, that make me embarrassed to be white at times. The fact is, if you are so concerned about things getting out of control, then you need to look deeper.”

Jeff said, “The root of the word IGNORANT is IGNORE you WILLFULLY IGNORE black crime – You are IGNORant.”

Grim said “Nope, sorry Chris – calling someone racist does not make you a better person, it makes you ignorant and blind to what is happening. Do you want to be the little white guy huddled in a fetal ball on your kitchen floor when society comes crashing down around you, or do you want to fight for your race that is systematically being extinguished (via bloody-beatings by blacks, and the political propaganda created to tear whites down)? You've been brainwashed into believing this is not a problem, that this is not a race issue, that this has nothing to do with black/white. You are a fool and will remain that way...until of course, the beatings begin on you – just for being white.”

Albert said, “When is the last time you recall a group of whites attacking and nearly beating a black man to death just for being there and because they had the opportunity to do so? This is happening all over the US from the knock out game to groups attacking a man trying to defend his property in his own yard. Yet the left wing media acts as though it should be ignored. Means nothing. White lives mean nothing. Especially to ignorant whites who pride themselves as not being racist by excusing the racist attacks that are epidemic in this country. That racist ignorance just feeds the problem. You and those like you are the

problem.”

Rob said, “I’ve got 45 minutes of unedited footage from last night if anyone wants it.”

Lauren said, “My name is Lauren from CBS National News. If anyone has any information or footage they’d like to share, please get in touch ASAP at lauren@cbsnews.com. Thanks so much.” [To paraphrase, that’s like saying, “hi, I’m from the media and I’m here to tell the truth”]

Jess said, “While I like the idea of the police having the footage, look what happened in Baltimore (or did not happen). The police and media had hundreds of hours of very high quality video of thousands of serious felonies being committed. Have you heard of anyone being arrested through the analysis of the film?”

Chris replied, “If you look back in history many years ago, most white people were racist. An embarrassing fact since I am white. As the years go by I am sure that you have noticed there are less and less racist white people like yourself. We now have black bosses, city officials, mayors, governors and the President. I am happy to tell you that you can make all the racist comments you like, but you can do nothing to stop equality. I’m sure you think men are better than women too. Seeing that we have a black president, that there is now equality in marriage and that marijuana will be legal soon just shows that most of the generations that disagreed with these beliefs are dying off and the world is changing for the better. It must be painful for you to watch. It is sad that you have so much anger and hatred in your heart.”

Dave said, “You were going well until you said the bad ones are dying off. These animals were young. They are the face of what is coming. You better wise up to that fact.”

Jess replied, “The white community offers a far stronger level of encouragement to minority students than are offered by black leaders. But the real

purveyors of failure are the entertainment industry moguls who glorify thugs, drugs and treating women as *****. When crowds cheer beatings and killings, businesses leave. Not because they do not like blacks but because the levels of violence and thievery are so great that they are unwilling to continue.”

Frank said, “My experience after 40 years of teaching is that you are full of ****. After 40 years of patient genuine care, these ***holes would kill you for a nickel. Don’t spout your crap unless you have actually been involved in trying to make things better. Pray to God that this policeman and his brothers don’t say to hell with it and quit. The only thing that will change the attitude of these disengaged and attention seeking criminals is a good old fashioned .357 to the head. Social order matters. Engage these ***holes with live fire.”

Gary said, “Why do people insist on beginning sentences like this: “...This isn’t a race thing, this is a human thing...” Or whites who begin by saying “... I’m not racist...” What is the problem with whites? Why do we continually apologize for being white? This WHITE kid is laying on the ground beaten bloody for one reason...because he was White! Do NOT apologize for that. These were BLACKS beating-up (once again) on a White ...got it? Let’s not sugar-coat any of this. Until we stand-up and stand-together as Whites, this will continue to happen. This white-guilt mentality only empowers blacks ...in their eyes, we are weak and guilty.”

Sarah said, “I dont trust white people they took us from our home and brought us here for their purpose now they don’t want us here and don’t understand why we shoot/rob/ kill you on site lol!” [Yeah, the last one’s a gem right?]

Well, that’s quite a microcosm of Cincinnati and America at large: a cosmopolitan portrait of a failed era of civil rights and forced integration. And here again we have history repeating itself as if White people can see the problem and somewhat articulate

their frustrations, but can't get a grip on the solution, other than catching up with the race war that has been perpetrated against White people for the last 50 years. That means putting on the whole armor of God's racism... *"And take the helmet of salvation, and the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God"* Eph. 6:17. In other words, fight the world, *"Do not be conformed to this world; but be transformed by the renewing of your mind"* (Romans 12:2). Our race is a clan of fighters, we have always defended the faith of our fathers when all else failed, because God put racism into our blood.

Last Friday, 7-10-15, will be a date that lives in infamy as that half-breed female governor of South Carolina, Nikki Haley, signed a decree to take away the heritage of a people, ceremonially removed the



Confederate battle flag from the state capitol. The Kenyan dictator in the Rainbow House in Washington, District of Criminals, said it was "a signal of good will and healing, and a meaningful step towards a better future." Evidently more steps will be forthcoming. Negro William Ray III, 20, is the president of the Black Student Alliance at Wake Forest University in North Carolina. "America was built on the backs of racism," he says, "And racism is a system that needs to be deconstructed." The Confederate flag coming down is "historic, of course, but it's a piece in a billion piece puzzle." Maybe he should start writing a list for the steps needed to deconstruct a billion bits of racism; perhaps pieces of racism that don't even exist yet, like perpetual reparations for the descendents of the Holohoax.

They could milk that cash cow forever, as long as there's White taxpayers... to foot the bill and are pacified (said sarcastically). Remember this: the flag's removal is predicated on a fishy black church shooting by an alleged White kid and there has been no trial and no conviction other than the controlled jews media. Real American huh? Guilty before proven innocent?

Chuck Baldwin said the other day that, "The Confederate flag needs to be raised, not lowered." What we see happening today is an illustration of why the Confederate flag was raised in the first place i.e. a response to the tyranny against our God given liberties. To the spoils of war goes the bias to write the history of that war and that's what's taught in the communist schools in America today. The people of the South who tried to secede from the Union were branded in schoolbooks as traitors, racists and hatemongers. The jews media and government spokespeople do the same thing today with Christians, conspiracy theorists, military veterans and so called hate groups. Baldwin makes the cogent point "To say that southern states did not have the right to secede from the United States is to say that the thirteen colonies did not have the right to secede from Great Britain. One cannot be right and the other wrong. If one is right, both are right. How can we celebrate our Declaration of Independence in 1776 and then turn around and condemn the Declaration of Independence of the Confederacy in 1861? Talk about hypocrisy!" To put it in layman's terms, nobody wants to get ripped off, nobody wants their God given rights trampled upon and nobody wants to be lied about. And if I don't want to associate with those who are not of my race, I should be free to separate myself from them, especially if they want to get violent whenever they feel like it. In fact, proximity is the key to bringing the race war to an end. They do not belong here; no racial alien belongs in the fruited plain.

Donald Trump may be rich and arrogant, but he's

raising an issue about immigration - that is destroying America. I don't know if he's an actor in "The Game" for Mystery Babylon or if God is using him to bring us closer to our Beulah Land, but whatever role he's playing, he's raising the specter of dissident racism, which will not only raise the 'Stars and Bars,' but raise the next generation in the ways of our Lord and Savior, Jesus Christ. But, let's take the 'Donald' with a grain of salt, being that he has said "I'm a big fan of Israel" and he teed off with the lynch mob taking down what he thinks belongs in a museum collecting dust. The Confederate flag is now our standard to rally the troops against enemy combatants whose families have over-extended their stay and must leave or die. They can call it whatever pejorative they wish, but the Truth remains on our side... if we fight for it. The Bible likens these strangers with their strange gods, not as people, but worms that devour the land. They are, after all, God's judgment against us for our sins of miscegenation, race mixing, desegregation, multicultural diversity ad nauseam. The White race will be restored. *"The threshing floors will be full of wheat, and the vats will overflow with new wine and olive oil. And I will restore to you the years that the locust hath eaten, the cankerworm, and the caterpillar, and the palmerworm, My great army which I sent among you. Once again ye shall eat in plenty, and be satisfied, and praise the Lord your God, who does these miracles for you. And My people will never again be put to shame"* Joel 2: 24-26.

There is nothing in this life that says we cannot secede from the bondage of those who hate us and our Messiah, who they would not have Him rule over the earth. Today's Scripture reading in Ezekiel is what we could call a drama queen, oversimplifying the need for White men to repair the social breach of law and order; an exaggeration, because there were good men in Jerusalem, like Jeremiah, but overstatement was the prophetic method of dramatizing a dangerous situation. Inevitably, doom is the next step after a

nation in rebellion loses its reason for being and ignores its commission from God. Thus the men of true Israel today are not so much drama queens as they are kings and priest of the Most High and are compelled to service in the means of dissident racism, to elevate the racial consciousness and to bring down the nobles of mammon. We must bring down, once again, the altars of Baal, whose god is gain and whose only moral law is license. Steps can either go up or down. Cultural Marxists think they're riding high on overdrive, but they're delusional fools. Righteousness alone is the only source of national strength. They can't keep us from bringing down the idols of our hearts. Racism is a belief and if you believe in God, then believe His Word pertaining to the identity of an exclusive Covenant People and what our responsibilities are in this world. The churches have completely obliterated God's plan for the ages or so they think and believe. We are racists in respect to intercession, standing in the gap created by the sins of a nation that God is about to destroy. Can you see it? *"The kingdom of heaven has been suffering violence, and the violent have been seizing it by force"* Mt. 11:12. Downtown Cincinnati, July 4th, was a microcosm of competing kingdoms, Light vs. darkness. To secede from the kingdom of darkness is to intercede in behalf of true Israel from the wrath of God. Moses was a dissident racist; *"Therefore He said that He would destroy them, had not Moses His chosen one stood in the breach before Him, To turn away His wrath from destroying them [Israel]"* Ps.106:23.

You know the enemy is desperate and going for broke, when the prosecutor's office in Flathead County, Montana is arguing that speech that exposes jews or other religious, racial, and other groups "to hatred, contempt, ridicule, degradation, or disgrace" is criminally punishable, unless it consists of true factual statements." Do you really think they care about true facts? They certainly think they are superior to the concept of free speech, whether it's the

1st Amendment or Titus 2:7-8, *“Concerning all things, present yourself as a model of good works, teaching without corruption, reverence, sound speech that cannot be condemned, so that the adversary may be ashamed, having nothing evil to say about you.”* Hell yes, we're exposing jews. Christian Identity is compelled to explain why jews are not the Israelite people of the Bible. A great fraud has been perpetrated against mankind and as a result, it is costing us our freedoms. As Martin Luther wrote in 'The Jews and Their Lies,' we too must expose the same kind of chicanery with the only weapon at our disposal, *“the sword of the Spirit, which is the word of God.”* Let us never underestimate the power of the living Word. It goes hand in hand with the logic of a literal sword that Christ commanded His Disciples to buy. *“For the kingdom of God isn't just talk, but also power”* I Cor. 4:20. Sound speech, like this sermon, is protected speech by God Himself and I will not waive my right of dissident racism.

Lastly, on a somewhat funny note, more like what makes you laugh - makes you cry, is that you can't have your cake and eat it too. A Louisiana man went to the WalMart bakery department and tried to order a cake with a Confederate battle flag on it. However, he was told that all such merchandise has been banned from their stores, as the national hysteria sweeps the country over the Sandy Hook styled shooting in Charleston, SC; Sears, Amazon and eBay have followed suit in mindless obeisance. The next day, the same man went back to WalMart and ordered another cake, only this time requested a design for the ISIS battle flag, which they were more than happy to do. The man rightfully rubbed WalMart's nose in their own hypocrisy by posting the cake and his


receipt on Facebook. WalMart has made a disingenuous apology for their “mistake.”



Confederate flag hysteria is being festered by the jews' media and is branching out to “a billion pieces of the puzzle” in which the heathen rage for more “change” that their contemporary Prince Hall slave masters demand. I have a whole lot of other things which time or space did not allow, but we'll look at them in the next installment. It's hard to keep up with what is now a full scale assault on White Christian America. There will be a new feature on the fgcp.org website listing ten things we are vehemently opposed to. We call it our '[Stand in the Gap](#)'. I doubt you will find too many other church websites across the fruited plain that will take the same stand as we do, but we do so with the biblical assurance that only a Remnant will stand with the Word in the last days. We do so, come what may. Lord willing, we will exercise dissident racism until the last zuwr stranger is chased down and thrown out of the land our fathers fought and died for .■

Should'st thou help the ungodly and
love them that hate the Lord?
Therefore the wrath of God is upon
thee from before the Lord
IIChronicles 19:2

See more at: <http://kinsmanredeemer.com/dissident-racism-part-2>



The Fallacy of Evolutionary Theory

Brett Light of [White Racial Identity](#)

The subject of evolution is one that needs addressing because far too many people, including many supposedly ‘awakened’ people, *believe* in it. They believe in it because it is dressed up as science and it is taught in schools and universities as fact. Add to that, the endless David Attenborough documentaries on the television, and there you go. That’s why most people, including a large percentage of ‘White Nationalists’, believe in this Jewish lie of ‘evolution’. In reality, evolutionary theory is a trick played upon people’s minds and imaginations. It is indeed a deception, and one that I used to believe in. Therefore, I understand the thought process from the point of view of a believer. Now I’m going to pick it to shreds!

How ‘Evolutionary Theory’ Is Supposed To Work

The basic premise is that all life as we know it evolved from a single cell. The cell then divided itself and began multiplying until there were many more cells. Single celled organisms then began combining with other cells producing more complex lifeforms.

Keep in mind that this is supposedly what was happening on earth 4.5 billion years ago. The complexity and number of lifeforms kept on increasing as time went on, until we have what we have today – countless different species all inhabiting the earth, interdependent on each other. OK, so it sounds like a reasonable theory given the **time frame** we are dealing with. 4.5 billion years is such a long period of time that almost *anything* could have evolved out of the original lifeforms. The differences in species are all a result of **genetic mutations**, which happened to work out favourably. The stronger, more adaptable species survived and prospered, gaining many more favourable genetic enhancements over time.

That is the basic scope of **macro-evolutionary** theory. There are of course many ‘scientists’ out there who tweak certain details and have their own ideas about what to insert into their version of the theory, but in order that the trees should not block out the forest, it is best to analyse **the way the theory works**, and not get caught up on minor details.

The 'Evidence' Presented To Prove 'Evolutionary Theory'

The only evidence used to support macro-evolution as an idea, is what they have termed **micro-evolution**. This is where the *dirty little trick* lies! See, what they call micro-evolution is really only the **adaption of species**, and of course there is plenty of evidence supporting the idea that a species adapts to its environment and the strongest, best suited survive, while the weaker defectives die off. I would have thought the adaption process of a species to be plainly obvious, since every species lives in an environment and of course it will adapt. Certain genetic traits which favour the best and brightest of the species are bound to come to the fore, if nature is allowed to take its course, and the defective, less useful traits become less common. This is why **eugenics** is a good idea and **it works for people**, as well as cattle. (Cattle breeding programs are a great example of how you can breed a species for certain traits) Note that the **adaption of species is not driven by genetic mutations**, rather it is simply the natural weeding out of crap genes and a concentration of the good genes **already present in the species!**

The Concept Of Species Or 'Kind'

This is an area where evolutionists have all sorts of trouble. They cannot accurately define the concept, and in fact, given that they believe all organisms and all species are in **transitional mutation mode**, all the time; the very concept of any distinct kind is blurred. If all the lines between what constitutes a kind are blurred, then there is no rational or moral basis with which you can justify preserving *any kind*. This is something to think about if you are a White Nationalist, because evolutionary theory invalidates the entire idea of preserving your kind. If Whites have a common ancestor with every other race and creature on earth, then why would you care if whites died out, and at what point would someone be *white enough*? We would re-evolve in a couple billion years time

anyway, since it happened once before, right?

Also, in lock step with the marxists; the evolutionists will insist that **all races of 'humans' are the same species or kind**, yet if an evolutionist points to a small adaptational difference in the beak of some particular bird, then that apparently constitutes a different species! This is what is called '*playing*' with the definition of species/kind.



That's why it requires a 'leap of faith'! !

The Leap Of Faith


Evolutionists never believe that their idea could be wrong because of the '*oh, it's science*' factor. The only scientific thing about evolutionary theory is the **adaption of species** explained above, **which isn't evolution anyway**, and in no way does it affirm macro-evolution!

The Leap of Faith for evolutionists is rather bizarre when broken down into its components: 1.) They rightly accept the incorrectly termed micro-evolution (adaption of species) as fact, then 2.) They add 4.5 billion years or so to the equation, a conjectural figure to say the least, but beside the point, then 3.) they add to the mix **the idea of gene mutation** as the driving factor behind the existence of different species, and now macro-evolution must be true? Really? They go from adaptation of species, where every species only adapts according to what is in its **natural genetic code**, to mutant theory. That is not what 'micro-evolution' proves in any way, shape or form, and

macro-evolution is therefore a religious belief!

Genetic Mutation Is Needed For The Theory To 'Work'


Without the idea of genetic mutation driving evolution, it is impossible to get different species in the first place if there was only one cell. That is **why** it is the central pillar behind macro-evolutionary theory. If you have a whole bunch of different creatures, then the only way of explaining the gap from one creature to another, is to insist that it happened as a result of gene mutation. The only way they can get away with such ridiculous speculation and pass it off as proven science, **is by emphasizing the 4.5 billion year time frame**, and that is of course, what they do. People's imaginations take over when pondering such vast amounts of time and that's when the gullibility factor rises to the fore. They will insist that gene mutation 'evolution' occurs at such a slow rate, that it isn't measurable if you just look at recent times – rather convenient, wouldn't you say!



Missing Links

The complete absence of 'missing links' from the fossil record is another stumbling block for evolutionists. If all life came from one cell, then we are all related. You could pick any two lifeforms on earth and somewhere back in the past there should be a common ancestor, known as a 'missing link'. Because none of these missing links actually exist, they are forced to point to creatures that died out a long time ago – **and specifically, ones that happen**

to resemble a couple of creatures still alive today. Since the ancient creature died out, no-one will find them *alive today* and *burst the bubble*, so the evolutionist can insist that it is a missing link of the two. Most of their attempts to find missing links are fairly half-hearted and speculative, to say the least. Add to this, the **divergent lines** which should be traceable in the fossil record between any missing link and whatever two modern creatures allegedly evolved from it. Unfortunately for evolutionists, no such diverging and *gradually mutating* lines exist, therefore the theory is bunk. If it were true, the fossil record would tell the story.



Evolutionary Theory and Marxism

The link between evolutionary theory and Marxism is one that not many White Nationalists seem to notice, although it is actually quite obvious when you break it down. Now, Darwin wasn't a Jew, but his work in trying to *scientifically* prove **macro-evolution** sure did give the Jews a 'scientific front' for this updated version of the primordial ooze theory. **Natural selection and adaption of species are not the same thing as gene mutating macro-evolution**, and that's what Darwin found out himself by providing evidence for the first two and then banging his head against a brick wall looking for proof of kinds mutating into different kinds.

Marxists all have a fundamental belief that there is no such thing as race, and even if there is, then it is all a result of **environmental factors**. They believe that because we all evolved from one common ancestor back in Africa, that therefore racial discrimination isn't warranted because we are all the same if you go back far enough. The difference is only skin-deep, they tend to say. Now, how are the Marxists justifying their opposition to the recognition of the reality of race? By using **evolutionary theory!** Evolutionary theory justifies the Marxist position, because it, like Marxism, fundamentally espouses a belief that it was **only the environment** which was responsible for different organisms evolving differently. *Think about this:* if life came about as a result of one cell, with no creative designing force in the world, **then the environment has to be the only factor which caused all life to turn into what it is!** Evolutionary theory is probably more Marxist than Marxism, and we all know how much trouble we get in when we embrace the false Marxist dialectic of **oppressor and oppressed!**

White Nationalist's Who Believe In Evolutionary Theory

Most White Nationalists of this mindset are determined to be narrow-minded and defend their

evolutionary delusions at any cost. As a result, they insist that Whites **evolved** in the freezing ice-age conditions of Northern Europe, **then ignore the fact that they didn't leave any infrastructure behind them**, which would have indicated their presence. The strangest thing about this belief, apart from it being insane, is that if you were a creature capable of surviving ice-age Northern Europe *without* infrastructure necessary for warmth and sustaining population, **then surely you'd be smart enough to look for sunnier pastures somewhere else?** Why would the White man sit there freezing and not go exploring for more fertile grounds? It doesn't make any sense, to put it mildly, and this from the most *evolved* race of all? These sorts of White Nationalists will also insist that Whites have built civilizations everywhere **except for the land of Ancient Israel and Judah**. Why? Because if they considered the possibility that the Israelites were White, it'd lend credence to what's in the Bible, and that goes directly against evolutionary theory!

Further food for thought: if you believe in evolution, then you believe that somewhere way back there is a *missing link* common ancestor of both whites and negroes. **There has to be**, according to the theory. If I were to make a guess I'd say that this missing link would look like some sort of **coffee-coloured nearly-man**. Now, how can anyone reconcile the idea of this coffee-coloured nearly-man spawning both **pitch black negroes** and **lily white Nordics**, with reality? Because this scenario is nuttier than a trip to a nut factory; there is only one answer – they will say that blacks got blacker because of the hot sun in Africa, whilst the Nordics turned white due to lack of sun in Northern Europe. They will also say that Africa was a land of plenty so the blacks developed dumb and lazy, and Europe was a harsh climate so whites developed their survival capabilities that way. Whilst environment obviously does affect racial development **to a certain limited extent**, the evolutionist will try and tell you that it was **only the**

environment that led the two divergent spawns of the coffee-coloured nearly-man to *evolve* completely differently, and against genetic logic. According to the evolutionist logic, if you stuck a bunch of negroes in Scandinavia (which the Jews are currently doing) and gave them a few thousand years, they would likely evolve white! What nonsense! If that were true, then why haven't Eskimos evolved into super-Nordics? This is a case of the **marxist-evolutionist-environmental-theory** applied to race, without a doubt.

Biblical Law Of Species/Kind

I've heard it said that the best truths are usually kept simple. The Biblical Law of **kind after kind** is one such truth. It is as simple as it gets, and it is 100% reliable. If you get the male and female of any one kind to **reproduce** – what do you get? Another of the **same kind!** It doesn't say 'kind gradually begets another kind' or 'kind slowly but surely morphs into something else' – **and that's because they don't.** Unfortunately for modern society, the Bible is viewed as unscientific, so people pay no attention to this obvious and natural law, instead preferring the genetic mutant theory.



DNA Structure And The Complexity Of Species

The fact that DNA is such an incredibly complex blueprint for each and every species, certainly points to an intelligent designer. It is the code for building each species and each part of the code exists for a specific purpose. Therefore, one part of the code cannot exist without the other bits already being

there. For example, the eye has a pupil and it also has an optic nerve. Without a pupil, the optic nerve would not serve any purpose, and vice versa. So to say that all the interdependent parts of any species would just *evolve* and all the bits somehow fit together with no guidance or '*planned end-product*', is quite simply a joke.

Genetic Mutation Is Not A Good Thing

I find it surprising that more people can't work this out. **A genetic mutation in your cells is a corruption**, and it usually results in a tumour or a cancer. There are no known cases of any good genetic mutations, except for the ones dreamed up in the evolutionist's head. Down Syndrome, cancer, and any number of genetic problems spring to mind, all of which, are disastrous and deadly. Nature doesn't appreciate the genetically corrupted individual in any species and usually they have great trouble reproducing (and I'm not talking about idiot welfare 'whites' of low stock, by the way). Even if it were possible to get a positive genetic mutation, what are the chances of finding an appropriate partner who will pass the gene on? The species in question would have to form a kind of '*group mutation club*', with the power of telepathically working out what sort of mutations everyone else is planning to pass on!

Intelligent Design

The alternative to the **theory** of evolution is the **fact** of nature that **kind begets more it's own kind.** For this reason, I believe that all pure species/kinds were created by an intelligent designer, or God, if you like. I do not claim to know the mechanisms of **how** the Creator did this great work of creation, although he clearly did do it or we wouldn't be here. **You can't get something out of nothing, without creative energy** – this is the Creator's domain, not ours to comprehend.

Purity Versus Hybridization

All lifeforms on earth fall in one of two categories;

they are either **pure creations** or they are a **mixed hybrid**. The hybrid is created only of this earth, and is not one of the Creator's designs. Therefore any mixed person cannot possess the spirit of the Almighty. **Purity is the ideal state for all species**, and hybridization is ultimately what brings evil into the world – i.e. the Jews.



**Purity is crucial
and the Swastika symbolizes it well!**


The Jewish Motive For Pushing Evolution

Apart from the fact that 'evolution' is really just the **environmental theory of marxism** repackaged to deal with life and nature, rather than history and politics; there are other motives as to why the Jews sell us this bogus idea. A huge part of this is because they know who we are. **The Jews know that WE (Whites) are the Adamic race of Yahweh in the Bible, and that THEY are the children and dedicated servants of Satan.** They understand this, while we don't, at this point in time. The job of evolutionary theory is to try and discredit the Bible in the eyes of White Adamic people. The consequence of this is that we lose any sense of ourselves as a **special creative elect**. Once our identity is lost, then we become just another lifeform that evolved from the slime – exactly what the Jews want us to think, and exactly where we'll end up if we don't wake up to who we truly are!

Who's Religious?

I don't accept things based upon blind-faith and I never will. It takes **time** to analyse and think through all of these concepts and to sift through the information. When I first worked all this stuff out regarding the Bible and how evolutionary theory is used destructively against us, I was very surprised, to say the least. I am absolutely certain that the Bible is correct about the nature of species and their origins. The '**how**' mechanism of creation is not explained in the Bible because we, as the Creators products, can never be on that higher level. It's beyond our comprehension. What can be established for sure, is that '**kind after kind**' makes far more sense than evolutionary theory, and it is demonstrably observable in reality! **The evolutionists BELIEVE in something that has never been observed or proven to have happened**, yet apparently if you adhere to '*kind after kind*' from the Bible, it is a religious act of blind faith.

The Joke's on them! ■



The following article is based on a [post made by William Finck](#) at the Christogenea Forum. It is written as an open statement responding to statements made in an internet radio program featuring David Duke, who had as a guest a man named Blake Sawyer. The program is on Duke's website, and is titled

[Dr. Duke interviews New Testament Scholar on the Christian scripture concerning Jews!](#)



Why does David Duke insist on upholding Jewish lies about the Bible?

I am going to begin this discussion with a remark made by Staropramen at the Christogenea Forum [edited slightly for grammar]:

White Nationalism is itself Jewish. It's a kosher rabbit hole for racists. When a follower of Alex Jones, for example, realizes that racism is healthy and tries to break away the Jew has David Duke and Don Black right there ready to greet them with open arms. Jews want to gather together all the non-white races against White people. We [meaning White Christians] are supposed to trust Yahweh and be a separate people but White Nationalists would rather gather together all the non-whites against the Jews! They take their script from the Jews! Jews have success because of our disobedience, not because this game plan of theirs is a good one. White Nationalists don't understand this so they figure that the Jewish plan is a good one and they'll use it against them. Whites without Christ shall fail regardless of strategy.

And all of that is absolutely true. Because of things like this I have made references to “Daisy Duke and Donna Black”, since neither of them are man enough to accept and admit the truths with which they have repeatedly been confronted. And I have also called Duke an “Al Sharpton in White-face”, which is exactly what he is. That is because his positions reduce Whites to the status of just another oppressed group crying out for so-called equal rights. I do not repent of those comments, and would gladly discuss

those things with either of these men in person.

But there is one other thing which these White Nationalists do rather consistently which is absolutely treacherous, and that is that they believe and maintain the lies of the Jews concerning the narrative of the Bible and the historic identity of the people of the Bible. Recently, as I wrote the article presented as our editorial this month, [Camp of the Saints Revisited](#), I planned on discussing this topic. And then this opportunity arose, where I could address the topic based on a response to Duke's program, which I simply could not resist as the basis for such a discussion.

It was brought to my attention that David Duke had very recently done this program with this fellow named Blake Sawyer. The headline for the program posted at Duke's website announces an “Interview with a New Testament Scholar on the Christian Scripture Concerning Jews”. This so-called “scholar” just happens to be a host on Rense Radio, where Duke also broadcasts, where he is billed as “a health educator, author, radio show host, & trends researcher. He has been involved as a behind-the-scenes researcher for several #1 best selling books and is a published author himself. He has been a successful entrepreneur for the past 4 decades.” His profile on Rense Radio then goes on to say that “Mr. Sawyer's other expertise is in the area of surviving The Collapse. This includes World War III, the worldwide economic collapse, the tyrannical global government, natural disasters, pandemics and epidemics, false flags, ruthless governments, a

collapse of society (with subsequent rioting and anarchy), Fukushima, and hundreds of other potential life-threatening scenarios.”

Now all of those topics have a million and one so-called “experts” on the internet, and a few months of internet reading proves every one of them to be a clown. Now my purpose is not necessarily to attack this Sawyer fellow. But you can tell a lot about a man's principles simply by the places that he habituates. Here are a few more lines about Sawyer from the Rense site: “Besides hosting two different one hour weekly radio shows, Blake has shared his expertise and unique perspective on the subjects of health/disease and surviving The Collapse/New World Order with millions of people as a guest on various radio shows. Over the past 30 years, those shows include Coast to Coast, Jeff Rense, Kevin Trudeau Radio, The Edge with Daniel Ott, and many others.”

But many of these appearances were about natural health, the endeavor which Sawyer seems to have been engaged in the longest, and I won't judge him poorly for that. The Coast to Coast program, however, is a regular parade of clowns and so-called “experts” just like Mr. Sawyer, and each of them has some great and fascinating tale to share with millions of marshmallow-minded fools. Mr. Sawyer, according to his own profile on Rense, is also just another internet sensationalist who employs what we call *fear porn* for the purpose of promoting himself and his work, and guys like that are also a dime-a-dozen on the internet.

However we did not see any serious academic work about the Bible or history in any of the common literature about Blake Sawyer on the internet. In this realm, whatever expertise he has in natural health is insignificant. You would think that the guy that David Duke considers “a true and magnificent Biblical scholar” would have actually published some work somewhere that truly qualifies him as such a scholar. We are not going to see his alleged life-saving information, because he wants \$30 a year to subscribe to his website before one can actually read anything at all.

From what little we have been able to see in his own literature, however, Blake Sawyer abuses a few passages from Scripture in order to promote an apocalyptic scenario so that he can sell you on some

of his other ideas or services or goods. He fully exposes his hand as a *fear porn* artist where he trumpets statement on his website such as this: “A projected 75% to 90% of the world's population will die within the next 5 to 15 years.” In our estimation, real Christians should pray for that day, and not fear it at all. Those whom Christ shall preserve do not need Mr. Sawyer's survival remedies.

We ourselves must strongly question the depth of Sawyer's actual knowledge of the Bible. However David Duke promoted Blake Sawyer as a great Bible scholar. In the introduction to the broadcast Duke called Sawyer “a true and magnificent Biblical scholar”, and “the absolute, most knowledgeable person I have ever heard in my life” in reference to his “width and breadth of knowledge about the New Testament”. Saying these things and others, Duke also employed superlatives such as “amazing” and “stunning”. We may imagine ourselves to be highly embarrassed if we were ever the objects of such boldly ingratiating descriptions.

Here is what Duke's own synopsis of the program said: Dr. Duke had as his guest for the hour Biblical scholar and broadcaster Blake Sawyer. Dr. Duke asked Mr. Sawyer about the relationship between God and the Jews as reflected in the New Testament. Mr. Sawyer explained that in the New Testament there is not a single word about the restoration of a state of Israel and that it is clear that Jews killed Jesus.

Now, that statement attributed to Sawyer is correct, but these things are obvious to the most casual reader of the New Testament, and one certainly does not need a “magnificent scholar” to figure them out. However Duke's synopsis of the program continues by saying: He also points out that in the Old Testament, God's promises to the Jews were all conditional on their behavior, and that the Jews broke their covenant. “We are in the great apostasy,” he said, pointing out that even self-proclaimed Christian ministers like John Hagee have to cite Talmud quoting Rabbis rather than even the Old Testament when they make their twisted case for Christians supporting Israel. He finished up by making it clear that the antichrist of biblical prophecy is to be a Jew, and not a Muslim. And except for the obvious things that he said about the Zionist agent and fraud John Hagee, every other statement he gave here is a lie.

First, in the Old Testament God never made any promises to Jews. There is not one recorded promise to Jews anywhere in the Old Testament. In fact, the word “Jew” does not appear in the King James Version until 2 Kings chapter 16, of events which were well over a thousand years after the time of Abraham. To call Abraham a Jew is like calling Hengist and Horsa Americans, simply because the English were the major contributing founders of America. I know that last statement is arguable, but it is true and that is a valid example. Blake Sawyer is just as stupid as John Hagee.



Secondly, the promises to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob were indeed without condition. Go read all of the initial promises to Abraham in Genesis chapters 12 through 16, and there are no conditions attached to any of them. Go read the promises to Jacob, the designated heir of the promises to Abraham, as they are recorded in Genesis chapter 35, and there are no conditions there either. Not one.

This is repeated by Paul of Tarsus in the New Testament, in Galatians chapter 3 where he said “17 And this I say, that the covenant, that was confirmed before of God in Christ, the law, which was four hundred and thirty years after, cannot disannul, that it should make the promise of none effect. 18 For if the inheritance be of the law, it is no more of promise: but God gave it to Abraham by promise.”

The law was given to Israel as a condition for the maintenance of a kingdom. Every kingdom requires law. But the promises to Abraham and Jacob far transcended the kingdom which was established 400 years later.

Blake Sawyer is no Old Testament scholar, and he is certainly no New Testament scholar, or he would be familiar with these words of Paul's, and he should have investigated them before he made his ridiculous assertion. Rather, he is just another pretender posing

as a Bible scholar.

Where Duke says that Sawyer “finished up by making it clear that the antichrist of biblical prophecy is to be a Jew, and not a Muslim”, he distinguishes himself as a pretender once again. The word *antichrist* only appears in the New Testament in the epistles of John, in both the singular and the plural. There it appears 5 times in 2 different chapters, in 1 John chapter 2 and 2 John chapter 1. The word is used by John to describe people contemporary to his own time – although people fitting the description exist in much greater numbers in our own time. Every time the word is used, it is in the same context which we see in 1 John 2:22 where the apostle wrote “22 Who is a liar but he that denieth that Jesus is the Christ? He is antichrist, that denieth the Father and the Son.” In all truth, both Jews and Muslims are antichrists, as are many others, although the true meaning of the word goes even far beyond that.

So in essence, David Duke picked up some casual reader of Scripture who repeats certain of the misconceptions commonly propagated by the Judaized denominational churches, but who also happens to be aware of the Jewish treachery of the Christian era. Then because he can sound as though he knows something, Duke advertises him as some great scholar, when in fact he has obviously done very little original research and knows nothing about the actual identity of the Jews, and even less about the historical context of the Bible. But he can indeed parrot the few things that David Duke *wants* to see and hear and believe about the Bible.

This is where Identity Christians must continue to chastise these so-called White Nationalists. But first, I want to say a few things about Christian Identity and original research. No historical or Biblical research is really original, in the sense that a particular individual invented it, and all true scholars should know that. Rather, original research is conducted by examining documents from sources as close as possible to the target subject, and drawing conclusions based on those documents which can be verified by the documents themselves. When it concerns ancient history or the Bible, quite often archaeological findings such as inscriptions and monuments can augment the material found in the documents.

This is our area at Christogenea, and it is what we do

all of the time. [In this context, saying *Christogenea*, I am including Clifton Emahiser's ministry as well, as we work together closely, and I also must give at least some credit to many of the contributors to our forum). Truly original research takes many hours of concentration and painstaking labor to accomplish. However we did not invent Christian Identity. Many men for over two hundred years before us have developed our view of history and theology, by studying the same documents and inscriptions which we have studied behind them.

We have many Christian Identity friends in Louisiana, which is David Duke's home state, and other states in the South. Some of these men knew George Lincoln Rockwell, and Identity Christians from the South such as James Warner and Gerald L. K. Smith. Warner was also from Louisiana, and Smith once worked closely with Huey Long, a Louisiana senator. Of course, I was not around in those days. But I have heard the first-hand testimony from some of these men, that David Duke was informed of Christian Identity and the true identity of the Jews long ago, and chose to reject it on what he had called "theological grounds".

Now, my own Christian Identity studies, or those of Clifton Emahiser, or even great forerunners such as Bertrand Comparet, are indeed quite voluminous. There are many other good Christian Identity writers as well, who have written concerning the proofs of our faith at great length. But I would not expect anyone to accept what any of us say about the identity of true Israel with a few sound bites, or even with a podcast or two. It is a much deeper study than that, and one has to have an open mind and a lot of time for study and reflection in order to accept that everything he or she learned all their lives is a lie. Even if it is.

However concerning the Jews, it is a relatively short study in the New Testament, along with some of the prophets and the histories of Flavius Josephus, to see convincing proof that the Jews of today are not the people of Judah of 2,500 years ago, and they are certainly not all of Israel. This is easy to prove, but Duke rejects the information again and again. For whatever reason, it simply is not something which he wants to hear.

So he finds pretend scholars like Blake Sawyer, he

calls them "scholars", and he listens to them mimic everything that he does want to hear, which enables him to reject certain Jews on limited grounds, but which also enables him to embrace Muslims and every other sort of antichrist on the face of the earth. It is obvious that Duke does this out of political expediency, but that political expediency is based upon lies, and it will get him nowhere. At the same time, Duke is allowed to blindly maintain that the Jews are the people of the Old Testament, which is the biggest of all lies.

But the real problem with the position that Duke clings to is this:

So long as the typical Judeo-Christian thinks that the Jews really are the people of the Old Testament, so long as they believe the lie that Abraham was a Jew, then the typical Judeo-Christian is going to look at Genesis chapter 12 where it says "I will bless them that bless you and curse them that curse you" and by the fear of God they will always worship the Jews. Mr. Duke's perpetuation of the lie will therefore always benefit the Jews, and he is not such a great thinker after all. Like Blake Sawyer, David Duke is also a pretender. Even worse, all White nationalists who follow him are thereby made into an army of pretenders.

David Duke has been upholding the Jewish lies about their own Old Testament identity for 30 years, in spite of the fact that many men have attempted to correct him. So maybe that is his goal in the first place, and he is not a failure after all. In the introduction to his program, Duke claims that his message is a message of "freedom". The last false prophet of the Old Testament, in the book of Jeremiah, was named Pashur, and in Hebrew that name also means "freedom".



For Christians, and for all Whites, there is no freedom without the truth in Christ. Any man who promotes freedom without Christ is a slave to corruption.

When a man absolutely refuses to see a truth which over and over again has been explained with perfect clarity, it must at some point become obvious that man has an agenda. Think about it. Anyone who upholds the lies of the Jews is a shill, purposely or not, and that includes David Duke and his latest “scholar”.

The only way to defeat Jewry is to tell people the truth about who the Jews are, because the truth will indeed set us free. Think about it: the Jews have undermined Christendom by disconnecting Christians from their true national identities, while at the same time they are truly lying about their own national identity. How long will Daisy Duke help them to do that?

What follows is probably a reprint here, but we will present it anyway. It is a short article from Christogenea explaining, as briefly as we thought possible, the true identity of the people now called *Jews*. It does not extend past the time of Christ, because we do not even have to go that far in order to prove our thesis. But if we examine the history of the Jews in the Christian era, we will see that even in that time they became even further mixed with aliens. Therefore anyone who maintains the lie that the Jews are the people of the Old Testament is a pretender, or a fool.

[A Concise Explanation of the Creation of the Jewish People](#)

The Old Testament accounts found in the Book of Genesis demonstrate that there was a rivalry between Jacob and Esau. Esau, it is also clear, was a race-mixer who had taken wives of the Canaanites and the Ishmaelites (Genesis 36). The rivalry between the brothers later turned into a national enmity among their descendants, and the Edomites were eventually enslaved by the Israelites (1 Chron. 18), and later revolted (2 Chron. 21). When the Chaldaeans finally took Jerusalem and destroyed the city, we find that the Edomites were in league with them, and are blamed for the temple’s destruction (Psalm 137:7-9; 1 Esdras 4:45 in the Septuagint).

When the Israelites moved into the land of Canaan,

they were instructed to destroy all of the Canaanite peoples.



Pagan Canaanite culture

They failed to do this, and were warned that harm would later come to them because of this failure (Num. 33:55; Josh. 23:13; Jdg. 2:3). It is evident that both in Jerusalem and elsewhere, the later Israelites did indeed have a problem with infiltration and race-mixing by the Canaanite tribes (Jer. 2:13, 21-22; Ezek. 16:3, 45 et al.). This was one of the chief reasons for their chastisement and removal.

The prophecy found in Ezekiel chapters 35 and 36 discusses the fact that the Edomites had moved into the lands of Israel and Judah after the removal of the Israelites by the Assyrians and Chaldaeans (cf. Ezek. 35:10). The theme of the prophecy found in Malachi chapters 1 and 2 is that Jacob is distinguished from Esau, and that the sacrifices of the priests are not acceptable, because the covenant is with Levi. With this Malachi fully infers that there were (or that there would be) priests who should not have held the office.

In the Biblical records after the Assyrian and Chaldaean deportations of the Israelites, concerning the return of merely 42,000 or so Israelites to Jerusalem we have only the books of Ezra and Nehemiah and a few of the Minor Prophets. These books are focused upon the activities in Jerusalem over a short period of time, and concerning the rest of

the country, or concerning the time from approximately 455 to 3 BC, in the Bible we have nothing. It is evident, in Ezra and Nehemiah, that these returning Judaeans did struggle to maintain their race and keep themselves separate from the Canaanites and Edomites in the neighboring districts. Yet this attitude did not prevail, and with the works of the first-century Judaeans historian Flavius Josephus and the apocryphal 1 Maccabees along with secular sources we can fill in some of the historical gaps between the testaments.



From Greek and Roman records, we can see that from the Hellenistic period all of the southern portions of the land once known as Judah and Israel were called Idumaea, after the Edomites. Strabo, the early first century Greek geographer, attests that the Idumaeans were “mixed up” with the Judaeans, and that they “shared in the same customs with them” (Book 16). From Josephus it can be determined that shortly before 130 BC, the reigning Maccabean high priest (who had all the authority of a king), John Hyrcanus,


decided to conquer all of the surrounding cities of ancient Israel inhabited at that time by Edomites and Canaanites, and to either convert them to the religion of Judaea (first called “Judaism” by the Greeks) or to let them leave the land, or to be slain. (Maccabee was a name given to the Asamonean dynasty of high priests who ruled Jerusalem from about 150 BC down to about 36 BC, when the last of them was slain by Herod.) Josephus states that from this point these Edomites became “none other than Judaeans” (*Antiquities*, 13:257-258, 13:395-397 et al.). Therefore we see with certainty the fulfillment of Ezekiel 35.

Judaea from 130 BC forward was a multiracial polyglot of a nation. The first Herod, an Idumaeans by race who usurped power from the Maccabees, bribed the Romans for the kingship and from that time the temple priesthood at Jerusalem was used as a political tool. Both Josephus and the ecclesiastical historian Eusebius admit that many of the priests were not worthy of the distinction under the former Levitical traditions, and the veracity of Malachi’s prophecy becomes quite clear with their testimony. The usurpation of political control in Jerusalem is the primary reason for all of the division recorded in the New Testament. In Romans 16:20 and 2 Thessalonians, Paul alludes to the temple priesthood as “satan” (which means “the adversary”), and this is also attested to in Revelation 2:9 and 3:9. Yahshua Christ informs the priests and other leaders in many places that they are the children of the adversary, and that they are not His people, i.e. Luke 11:47-51, John 8:33-47 and John 10:26. In Romans chapter 9, Paul makes a clear distinction between Israelites of Judaea and the Edomites of Judaea, calling the one group “vessels of mercy” and the other “vessels of destruction”. It can be shown from the New Testament that many of the original Israelites of Judaea converted to Christianity during the ensuing years, losing their identity as Judaeans. The Edomites never converted, clinging to their traditions found in the Talmud – which has absolutely no authentic connection to the ancient Hebrew religion. Today these people, and all of their many proselytes and those with whom they have intermarried, are known as Jews ■



Robert Faurisson

'I forgot to tell you something about this man. As I told you, in his (Elie Wiesel) book *Night*, not once does he talk about gas chambers. So I published something in '86. The title was "A prominent false witness: Elie Wiesel", and I said very simply, that there was not one word about gas chambers in this book, *Night*. So when the book was published in '88 in Germany, do you know what happened? In the German version of the book by Elie Wiesel, in 9 places in this little book where in fact you used to have "crematory" or "crematoria", you now have the word for "gas chambers", "Gaskammer". This is how one invents gas chambers.'



Faurisson's Exposure of the Holocaust Hoax

Based on a 4500-word comment by political analyst [Luca K](#)

This article consists mostly of important Faurisson quotations debunking the state-enforced conspiracy theory that 6 million Jews died in gas chambers on the orders of Hitler.

Pictures, captions and commentary by Lasha Darkmoon

[1]

— In 1951 the Jew **Léon Poliakov**, who had been part of the French delegation at the Nuremberg trial (1945-1946), stated his conclusion that we had at our disposal an overabundance of documents for all points of the history of the Third Reich, with the exception of one point alone: the "campaign to exterminate the Jews". For this, he wrote, "No document remains, perhaps none has ever existed" (Bréviaire de la haine, Paris, Calmann-Lévy, 1974 [1951], p. 171; English version: Harvest of Hate, New York, Holocaust Library, 1979, revised and expanded edition).

Faurisson says: There is here an extraordinary concession to the revisionist case. In effect, such a formidable criminal undertaking supposedly conceived, ordered, organised and perpetrated by the Germans would have necessitated an order, a plan, instructions, a budget, ... Such an undertaking,

carried out over several years on a whole continent and generating the death of millions of victims, would have left a flood of documentary evidence.

Consequently, if we are told that there perhaps has never existed any such documentary evidence, it is because the crime in question was not perpetrated.

In the complete absence of documents, the historian has no longer anything to do but keep quiet. L. Poliakov made this concession in 1951, that is, fifty-five years ago. However, it must be noted that, from 1951 to 2006, his successors have equally failed to find the least documentary evidence for the Holocaust.

Occasionally, here and there, we have witnessed attempts at making us believe in such or such discovery but each time, as will be seen below, the "discoverers" and their publicists have had to drop their claim.

[2]

[2] — In 1960 **Martin Broszat**, a member of the Institute of Contemporary History in Munich, wrote: “Neither at Dachau, nor at Bergen-Belsen, nor at Buchenwald were any Jews or other detainees gassed” (“Keine Vergasung in Dachau”, Die Zeit, August 19, 1960, p. 16).

Faurisson says: This sudden and unexplained concession is significant. At the Nuremberg trial the only homicidal gas chamber that the accusation ventured to show in a film had been that of Dachau, and the testimonies telling of alleged homicidal gassings in the three above-mentioned camps had been numerous. M. Broszat thus implicitly acknowledged that those testimonies were false. He did not tell us in what respect they were false. Nor did he tell us in what respect other such testimonies relating, for example, to Auschwitz, Majdanek, Treblinka, Sobibor or Belzec should, for their part, go on being deemed reliable.

In the 1980s, at Dachau, a sign indicated in five languages that the “gas chamber disguised as showers”, visited by the tourists, was “never used” as such. The revisionists had then asked in what respect the room could be termed a homicidal “gas chamber”, whereupon the Dachau Museum authorities took down the sign and replaced it with another on which, in German and English, can now be read:


“Gas chamber. This was the center of potential mass murder. The room was disguised as ‘showers’ and equipped with fake shower spouts to mislead the victims and prevent them from refusing to enter the room. During a period of 20 minutes up to 150 people at a time could be suffocated to death through prussic acid poison gas (Zyklon B).”

One will note the words “potential” and “could”, the choice of which attests to a fine bit of trickery: the information spawns in visitors’ minds the idea that the said “gas chamber” was effectively used for killing

but, at the same time, it enables the museum to retort to revisionists: “We haven’t expressly said that this gas chamber was used for killing; we’ve merely said that it could be or could have been, at the time, used to kill a certain number of people”.

To conclude, in 1960 M. Broszat, without any explanation, decreed in a simple letter that no one had been gassed at Dachau; thenceforth, the Dachau Museum authorities, quite embarrassed, have tried, by means of assorted deceitful ploys varying over time, *to fool their visitors into believing that, in this room, Jews had well and truly been gassed.*

THE FAKE GAS CHAMBER AT DACHAU



“The Dachau Museum authorities [still try] to fool their visitors into believing that, in this room, Jews had well and truly been gassed.”

— **Robert Faurisson**

[3]

– In 1968 the Jewish historian **Olga Wormser-Migot**, in her thesis on *Le Système concentrationnaire nazi, 1933-1945*, (Paris, Presses universitaires de France), gave an ample exposition of what she called “the problem of the gas chambers” (p. 541-544). She voiced her scepticism as to the worth of some well-known witnesses’ accounts attesting to the existence of gas chambers in camps such as Mauthausen or Ravensbrück. On Auschwitz-I she was categorical: that camp where, still today, tourists visit an alleged gas chamber was, in reality, “without any gas

chamber” (p. 157)\.

Faurisson says: To bring their horrible charges of homicidal gassings against the defeated, the accusers have relied solely on testimonies and those testimonies have not been verified. Let us take note of the particular case of Auschwitz-I: it was thus 38 years ago that a Jewish historian had the courage to write that this camp was “without any gas chamber”; however, still today, in 2006, crowds of tourists there visit an enclosed space that the authorities dare to present, fallaciously, as a “gas chamber”. Here we see a practice of outright deceit.

[4]

– In 1961 **Raul Hilberg**, Jewish orthodox historian Number One, published the first edition of his major work, *The Destruction of the European Jews*, and it was in 1985 that he brought out the second edition, a profoundly revised and corrected version. The distance between the two is considerable and can only be explained by the succession of victories achieved in the meantime by the revisionists.

In the first edition the author had brazenly affirmed that “the destruction of the Jews of Europe” had been set off following two consecutive orders given by Hitler. He neither specified the date nor reproduced the wording thereof. Then he professed to explain in detail the political, administrative and bureaucratic process of that destruction; for example he went so far as to write that at Auschwitz the extermination of the Jews was organised by an office that was in charge of both the disinfection of clothing and the extermination of human beings (*The Destruction of the European Jews*, 1961, republished in 1979 by Quadrangle Books, Chicago, p. 177, 570).

However, in 1983, going back completely on that explanation, Hilberg suddenly proceeded to state that the business of “the destruction of the European Jews” had, after all, gone on without a plan, without any organisation, centralisation, project or budget, but

altogether thanks to “an incredible meeting of minds, a consensus-mind reading by a far-flung bureaucracy” (*Newsday*, New York, February 23, 1983, p. II/3). He would confirm this explanation under oath at the first Zündel trial in Toronto on January 16, 1985 (verbatim transcript, p. 848); he would soon afterwards confirm it anew but with other words in the greatly revised version of his above-mentioned work (New York, Holmes & Meier, 1985, p. 53, 55, 62). He has just recently, in October 2006, confirmed it yet again in an interview given to *Le Monde*:

“There was no pre-established guiding plan. As for the question of the decision, it is in part unsolvable: no order signed by Hitler has ever been found, doubtless because no such document ever existed. I am persuaded that the bureaucracies moved through a sort of latent structure: each decision brings on another, then another, and so forth, even if it isn’t possible to foresee exactly the next step” (*Le Monde des livres*, October 20, 2006, p. 12).

Faurisson says: The Number One historian of the Jewish genocide, at a certain point, thus found himself so helpless that he suddenly proceeded to disown his first version and to explain a gigantic undertaking of collective murder as if it had all been carried out through something like the workings of the Holy Spirit.

In effect, since then he has evoked a “meeting of minds” within a bureaucracy, terming this meeting “incredible”. **If it is “incredible” or unbelievable, why then should it be believed? Must one believe the unbelievable?**

He also brings up “mind reading” and states it was performed by “consensus”, but this is a matter of pure intellectual speculation grounded in a belief in the supernatural. How can one believe in such a phenomenon, particularly within a vast bureaucratic structure and, still more particularly, within the bureaucracy of the Third Reich? It is worth noting that on R. Hilberg’s example the other official

historians set about, in the 1980s and 1990s, abandoning history and lapsed into metaphysics and jargon.

They questioned themselves on the point of whether one should be “intentionalist” or “functionalist”: must it be supposed that the extermination of the Jews occurred subsequent to an “intent” (not yet proved) and in line with a concerted plan (not yet found), or instead had that extermination happened all by itself, spontaneously and through improvisation, without there being any formal intent and with no plan? This type of woolly controversy attests to the disarray of historians who, unable to provide evidence and real documents to back their case, are thus reduced to theorising in the void. At bottom, those on one side, the “intentionalists”, tell us: “There were necessarily an intent and a plan, which we haven’t yet found but which we shall perhaps indeed discover one day”, whereas the others affirm: “There is no need to go looking for evidence of an intent and a plan, for everything was able to occur without intent, without plan and without leaving any traces; such traces are not to be found because they have never existed.”

[5]

– In May 1986 in France, **certain Jews**, alarmed upon realising that they could not manage to answer the revisionists on the simple plane of reason, decided to take action with a view to obtaining a legal prohibition of revisionism. Chief amongst them were Georges Wellers and Pierre Vidal-Naquet, grouped, with their friends, round the country’s head rabbi René-Samuel Sirat (Bulletin quotidien de l’Agence télégraphique juive, June 1986, p. 1, 3). After four years, on July 13, 1990, they would get, thanks notably to Jewish former Prime Minister Laurent Fabius, then president of the National Assembly, a special law passed allowing for the punishment of any person who publicly made revisionist statements on the subject of the “extermination of the Jews”: up to a year’s imprisonment, a fine of €45,000 and still other

sanctions. This recourse to force is a flagrant admission of weakness\.

Faurisson says: G. Wellers and P. Vidal-Naquet were especially alarmed by the court decision of April 26, 1983 (see paragraph 8 above). The former wrote: “The court admitted that [Faurisson] was well documented, which is false. It is astonishing that the court should fall for that” (Le Droit de vivre, June-July 1987, p. 13). The latter wrote that the Paris Court of Appeal “recognised the seriousness of Faurisson’s work — which is quite outrageous — and finally found him guilty only of having acted malevolently by summarising his theses as slogans” (Les Assassins de la mémoire, Paris, La Découverte, 1987, p. 182; here quoted the English translation: Assassins of Memory, New York, Columbia University Press, 1992).

[6]

– In August 1986 **Michel de Boüard**, himself deported during the war as a résistant, professor of history and Dean of letters at the University of Caen (Normandy), member of the Institut de France and former head of the Commission d’histoire de la déportation within the official Comité d’histoire de la deuxième guerre mondiale, declared that, all told, “**the dossier is rotten**”.

He specified that the dossier in question, that of the history of the German concentration camp system, was “rotten” due to, in his own words, “**a huge amount of made-up stories, inaccuracies stubbornly repeated — particularly where numbers are concerned — amalgamations and generalisations**”. Alluding to the revisionists’ studies, he added that there were “on the other side, very carefully done critical studies demonstrating the inanity of those exaggerations” (Ouest-France of August 2nd and 3rd, 1986, p. 6).

Faurisson says: Michel de Boüard was a professional historian, indeed the ablest French historian on the

subject of the wartime deportations. Up to 1985 he defended the strictly orthodox and official position. Upon reading the revisionist Henri Roques's doctoral thesis on the alleged testimony of SS man Kurt Gerstein, he saw his error. He honestly acknowledged it, going so far as to say that, if he hitherto personally upheld the existence of a gas chamber in the Mauthausen camp, he had done so wrongly, on the faith of what was said around him. (His untimely death in 1989 deprived the revisionist camp of an eminent personality who had resolved to publish a new work aiming to put historians on their guard against the official lies of Second World War history).

[7]

– In 1988 **Arno Mayer**, an American professor of Jewish origin teaching contemporary European history at Princeton University, wrote on the subject of the Nazi gas chambers: “Sources for the study of the gas chambers are at once rare and unreliable” (The “Final Solution” in History, New York, Pantheon Books, p. 362).

Faurisson says: Still today in, 2006, the greater public persist in believing that, as the media tirelessly suggest, the sources for the study of the gas chambers are innumerable and unquestionable. **At the Sorbonne symposium of 1982, A. Mayer, like his friend Pierre Vidal-Naquet, could not find words harsh enough for the revisionists; however, six years later, here was an ultra-orthodox historian who had drawn considerably closer to the revisionists' findings.**

[8]

– In 1989 Swiss historian **Philippe Burrin**, laying down as a premise, without demonstration, the reality of Nazi gas chambers and Jewish genocide, attempted to determine at what date and by whom the decision to exterminate physically the Jews of Europe had been taken. He did not succeed any more than all his “intentionalist” or “functionalist” colleagues (Hitler et

les juifs / Genèse d'un génocide, Paris, Seuil; English version: Hitler and the Jews: the Genesis of the Holocaust, London, Edward Arnold, 1994). He had to remark the absence of traces of the crime and note what he decided to call “the stubborn erasure of the trace of anyone's passing through” (p. 9). He bemoaned “the large gaps in the documentation” and added: “There subsists no document bearing an extermination order signed by Hitler. [...] In all likelihood, the orders were given verbally. [...] here the traces are not only few and far between, but difficult to interpret” (p. 13).

Faurisson says: Here again is a professional historian who acknowledges that he can produce no documents in support of the official case. The greater public imagine that the traces of Hitler's crime are many and unambiguous but the historian who has examined the relevant documentation has, for his part, found nothing but sparse semblances and “traces”, and wonders what interpretation to give to them.

[9]

– In 1992 **Yehuda Bauer**, professor at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, stated at an international conference on the genocide of the Jews held in London: “The public still repeats, time after time, the silly story that at Wannsee the extermination of the Jews was arrived at” (Jewish Telegraphic Agency release published as “Wannsee's importance rejected”, Canadian Jewish News, January 30, 1992, p. 8).

Faurisson says: Apart from the fact that a careful reading of the “minutes” of the Berlin-Wannsee meeting of January 20, 1942 proves that the Germans envisaged a “territorial final solution [eine territoriale Endlösung] of the Jewish question” in a geographical space to be determined, Yehuda Bauer's quite belated declaration confirms that this major point of the case alleging the extermination of the Jews is in fact worthless.

Let us add, in our turn, that the extermination of the Jews was decided on neither at Wannsee nor anywhere else; the expression “extermination camps” is but an invention of American war propaganda and there are examples proving that, during that war, the killing of a single Jewish man or woman exposed the perpetrator, whether soldier or civilian, member of the SS or not, to German military justice proceedings and the possibility of being shot by firing squad (in sixty years, never has a sole orthodox historian provided an explanation for such facts, revealed by the defence before the Nuremberg tribunal itself).

[10]

– In January 1995 French historian **Eric Conan**, co-author with Henry Rousso of *Vichy, un passé qui ne passe pas* (Paris, Gallimard, 2001 [1994, 1996]; English edition: *Vichy: an ever-present past*, Hanover, New Hampshire and London, University Press of New England, 1998), wrote that **I had been right after all to certify, in the late 1970s, that the gas chamber thus far visited by millions of tourists at Auschwitz was completely fake.** According to E. Conan, expressing himself in a leading French weekly:

“Everything in it is false [...]. In the late 1970s, Robert Faurisson exploited these falsifications all the better as the [Auschwitz] museum administration balked at acknowledging them”. Conan went on: “[Some people], like Théo Klein [former president of the CRIF, the ‘Representative Council of Jewish Institutions of France’], prefer to leave it in its present state, whilst explaining the misrepresentation to the public: ‘History is what it is; it suffices to tell it, even when it is not simple, rather than to add artifice to artifice’”.

Conan then related a staggering remark by Krystyna Oleksy, deputy director of the Auschwitz National Museum, who, for her part, could not find the resolve to explain the misrepresentation to the public. He wrote: “Krystyna Oleksy [...] can’t bring herself to

do so: ‘For the time being [the room designated as a gas chamber] is to be left “as is”, with nothing specified to the visitor. It’s too complicated. We’ll see to it later on’”(“Auschwitz: la mémoire du mal” [Auschwitz: the remembrance of evil], *L’Express*, January 19-25, 1995, p. 68)\.

Faurisson says: This statement by a Polish official means, in plain language: we have lied, we are lying and, until further notice, we shall continue to lie.

In 2005 I asked E. Conan whether the Auschwitz Museum authorities had issued a denial or raised any protest against the statement that he, in 1995, had ascribed to K. Oleksy. His answer was that there had been neither denial nor protest. In 1996, this imposture and others as well concerning the Auschwitz-I camp were denounced by two Jewish authors, Robert Jan van Pelt and Deborah Dwork, in a work they produced together: *Auschwitz, 1270 to the Present*, Yale University Press, 443 p. Here is a sampling of their words in that regard: “postwar obfuscation”, “additions”, “deletions”, “suppression”, “reconstruction”, “largely a postwar reconstruction” (p. 363), “reconstructed”, “usurpation”, “re-created”, “four hatched openings in the roof, as if for pouring Zyklon B into the gas chamber below, were installed [after the war]” (p. 364), “falsified”, “inexact”, “misinformation”, “inappropriate” (p. 367), “falsifying” (p. 369).

In 2001 the fallacious character of this Potemkin village gas chamber was also acknowledged in a French booklet accompanying two CD-Roms entitled *Le Négationnisme*; written by Jean-Marc Turine and Valérie Igounet, it was prefaced by Simone Veil (Radio France-INA, Vincennes, Frémeaux & Associés).

LASHA DARKMOON comments:

It is sobering to reflect that British historian David Irving was fined 30,000 marks (\$21,000) for publicly stating what is now openly and

authoritatively conceded: that the extermination gas chamber shown to tourists in Auschwitz was a mock-up or “dummy”. It had never gassed a single Jew. It had been built by the Russians after the war.

For decades, the authorities had deliberately lied to the public by pretending that this fake gas chamber was an authentic one.



FAKE GAS CHAMBER AT AUSCHWITZ

For over 50 years, the staff at Auschwitz told visitors that the Auschwitz gas chamber (above) was original. Now it is officially admitted that the gas chamber is a reconstruction, i.e., a mock-up or fake. Yet the authorities at Auschwitz still cannot bear to tell the public this. The brazen lie that Jews actually died in this fake “gas chamber” is still being repeated to tourists today, especially to school children being shepherded round the premises by their Jewish tour guides. [LD]

[11]

– In 1996 the leftwing French historian **Jacques Baynac**, a staunch anti-revisionist since 1978, ended up admitting, after due consideration, that there was no evidence of the Nazi gas chambers’ existence. One could not fail to note, wrote Baynac, “the absence of documents, traces or other material evidence” (*Le Nouveau Quotidien de Lausanne* [Switzerland], September 2, 1996, p. 16, and September 3, 1996, p.

14). But he said that he carried on believing in the existence of those magical gas chambers\.

Faurisson says: All in all, J. Baynac says: “There is no evidence but I believe”, whereas a revisionist thinks: “There is no evidence, therefore I refuse to believe and it is my duty to dispute.”

[12]

– In 2000, at the end of her book *Histoire du négationnisme en France* (Paris, Gallimard), **Valérie Igounet** published a long text by **Jean-Claude Pressac** at the end of which the latter, who had been one of the revisionists’ most determined opponents, signed a veritable act of surrender. In effect, taking up the words of professor Michel de Bouard, he stated that the dossier on the concentration camp system was “rotten”, and irremediably so. He wrote asking: “Can things be put back on an even keel?” and answered: “It is too late”.

He added: “The current form, albeit triumphant, of the presentation of the camp universe is doomed”. He finished by surmising that everything that had been invented around sufferings all too real was bound “for the rubbish bins of history” (p. 651-652).

In 1993-1994, that protégé of the French Jew Serge Klarsfeld and the American rabbi Michael Berenbaum, “Project Director” at the Holocaust Memorial Museum in Washington, had been acclaimed worldwide as an extraordinary researcher who, in his book on *Les Crématoires d’Auschwitz, la machinerie du meurtre de masse* (Paris, CNRS éditions, 1993; English title: *The Auschwitz Crematories. The Machinery of Mass Murder*), had, it appeared, felled the hydra of revisionism. Here, in V. Igounet’s book, he was seen signing his act of surrender.

Faurisson says: The greater public are kept in ignorance of a major fact: the man who had supposedly saved the day for History, who once was presented by the world press as an

extraordinary researcher who had at last discovered the scientific proof of the Nazi gas chambers' existence, ended up acknowledging his error. A few years later, not a single newspaper or magazine announced his death.

[13]

– In 2002, **R. J. van Pelt**, already mentioned, published *The Case for Auschwitz. Evidence from the Irving Trial*, Indiana University Press, XVIII-571 p.

Faurisson says: As is widely known, David Irving, who at the very most is a semi-revisionist ill-acquainted with the revisionist argumentation, lost the libel suit he had recklessly brought against the Jewish-American academic Deborah Lipstadt. He tried clumsily to make the case — a perfectly right one, for that matter — that there had existed no homicidal gas chambers at Auschwitz. But he nonetheless scored an essential point and, if Justice Charles Gray and other judges after him had had more courage, that point would have enabled him to succeed in his claim. The argument was summed up in a four-word phrase that I first put forth in 1994: **“No holes, no Holocaust”**. My reasoning behind it was as follows:

“NO HOLES, NO HOLOCAUST” ARGUMENT

1. Auschwitz is at the centre of the “Holocaust”; 2. The great crematoria of Auschwitz-Birkenau, or Auschwitz-II, are at the centre of the vast Auschwitz complex; 3. At the heart of these crematoria there were, supposedly, one or several homicidal gas chambers; 4. At a single one of these crematoria

(crematorium n° 2), although it is in ruins, is it today possible to go and examine the room said to have been a gas chamber; it is the presumed scene of the crime, itself presumed as well; 5. We are told that, in order to kill the Jewish detainees locked inside, an SS man, moving about on the concrete roof of the said gas chamber, poured Zyklon-B pellets through

four regular openings situated in the roof; 6. However, one need only have eyes to realise that no such openings have ever existed there; 7. Therefore the crime cannot have been committed.

For R. J. van Pelt, testifying against Irving, it was near torture trying to find a reply to this argument. Justice Gray as well had to acknowledge “the apparent absence of evidence of holes” (p. 490 of the verbatim transcript) and, in a more general way, he conceded that “contemporaneous documents yield little clear evidence of the existence of gas chambers designed to kill humans” (p. 489; for more details one may consult pages 458-460, 466-467, 475-478 and 490-506).

In the text of his judgment, Charles Gray admitted surprise: “I have to confess that, in common I suspect with most other people, I had supposed that the evidence of mass extermination of Jews in the gas chambers at Auschwitz was compelling. I have, however, set aside this preconception when assessing the evidence adduced by the parties in these proceedings” (13.71).

Here the failure of the accusing historians is flagrant and Irving ought to have won his case thanks to that observation by a judge who was hostile towards him: the documents of the era furnish us with but decidedly little clear evidence of the Nazi gas chambers' existence and thus of a German policy to exterminate the Jews. Is this not, after all — as we have seen above — what several Jewish historians had already concluded, beginning with Léon Poliakov in 195


[14]

– In 2004 French historian **Florent Brayard** published a work entitled *La « solution finale de la question juive »*. La technique, le temps et les catégories de la décision, Paris, Fayard, 640 p. In 2005, in a review of this book, the following three sentences could be read: “It is known that the Führer

neither drafted nor signed any order to eliminate the Jews, that the decisions — for there were several — were taken in the secrecy of talks with Himmler, perhaps Heydrich and/or Göring. It is supposed that, rather than an explicit order, Hitler gave his consent to his interlocutors' requests or projects. Perhaps he did not even put it into words, but made himself understood by a silence or an acquiescence" (Yves Ternon, *Revue d'histoire de la Shoah*, July-December 2005, p. 537).

Faurisson says: At nearly every word, these sentences show that their author is reduced to

adventurous speculations. When he dares to express, without the benefit of the least clue, the notion that Hitler "perhaps" made himself understood "by a silence or an acquiescence", he is merely taking up the theory of the "nod" (the Führer's mere nod!) first voiced by American professor Christopher Browning at the Zündel trial in Toronto in 1988. No academic of antirevisionist persuasion has shown himself to be more pitiful and foolish than that shabbos-goy. So true is it that, destroyed by the revisionist victories, the official case has ended up being emptied of all scientific content.



Robert Faurisson

'My life became hell from the day in July 1974 when I was denounced by the Israeli newspaper Yedioth Aharonoth. From 1974 to today I have suffered ten physical assaults, I've had countless court cases and convictions and have ended up being deprived of the right to teach at university. In France, in order to silence the revisionists that we are, the Jewish lobby got a special law passed, the Fabius-Gayssot Act of July 13, 1990, modelled on an Israeli law of July 1986. Laurent Fabius is a Socialist member of parliament, extremely rich and a Jew, whilst Jean-Claude Gayssot is a Communist MP. The Fabius-Gayssot Act provides for up to a years imprisonment, a fine of 45,000 and still other sanctions for those who challenge the alleged Holocaust . In nearly all the

Western world, with or without any special law, revisionism is severely punished. A certain number of my revisionist colleagues or friends have been or are now in prison, some for long years, especially in Germany and Austria, countries with which no [real] peace treaty has yet been signed following the end of hostilities in 1945 and whose governments remain subject to the will of the victors of the Second World War.'

Lasha Darkmoon concludes:

Organized Jewry, having taken control of most of the Western world's media and legislative institutes, has now succeeded in making it a crime to question the Holocaust [in 16 countries](#): Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, and Switzerland.

Other countries are slowly being added to this ever growing list of sovereign states where free

speech on the Holocaust is strictly forbidden. The narrative is in such tatters that the only way it can now be maintained is through intimidation and coercion.

In the Soviet Union in the 1920s, after the Jew-controlled Communist regime of Lenin and Stalin had established itself, it was a capital crime to own a copy of the *Protocols of the Elders of Zion*. The day will probably come, in the foreseeable future, when revisionist historians like Faurisson will be put to death for questioning the Holocaust ■

TOMBSTONE FOR TOMMY ATKINS

*Tommy, you did rather well,
Pat yourself on back,
England plundered most the world,
But now this world is back,
To taunt your sons and daughters,
It's karma for disgrace,
For now your land is colonised,
By every creed and race.*

*You left your little terraced home,
To plunder foreign field,
Your mates lie in their homes of clay,
Their home's another's shield;
Their kitchen, bedroom, backyard loo,
Now knows the words of Allah,
You strutted over Germany,
You're such foolish fellah.*

*Whilst you fought on foreign field,
Your heroes let them in,
You fought, some died for plunder,
And now you pay for sin.
The children of your children,
By mosque and temple play,
You pay the price for selling out,
Your folk for Jewish pay.*

Mike Walsh

[Witness to History: Catastrophe Volume Three: The Adolf Hitler and Reich Odyssey ~](#)

[Catastrophe \(3\) \(Volume 3\): Mike Walsh: 9781515353195: Amazon.com: Books](#)

*The term 'Tommy' is more often associated with the soldiers of World War I
and is used with affection and respect for their bravery and heroism.*

Measles can prevent cancer and degenerative diseases of bone and cartilage

Ralph Nimmann



Your chances of developing tumours, or diseases of bone and cartilage increase over 1000%, if you *didn't have measles!*

A study by Tove Ronne, published 1985 in [The Lancet](#), contains clear evidence:

According to the table on page 3 (“Number of Individuals With Various Diseases According To Negative Or Positive History Of Measles”), **15 people** (from 252) who had not had measles, developed cancer (other than skin and cervical cancer), whereas from the control group (230 people) who had had measles, **only one** developed cancer.

A similar significant difference:

11 people (from 252) who had not had measles, suffered from degenerative diseases of bone and cartilage, whereas from the control group (230 people) who had had measles, **only one** suffered from degenerative diseases of bone and cartilage.

The **figures for cervical cancer are 8 times higher** if people had not had measles: 8 (no measles group)

versus only one (measles group); sebaceous skin diseases are 6 times higher: 28 (no measles group) versus only 4 (measles group); immunoreactive diseases are nearly 4 times higher: 19 (no measles group) versus only 5 (measles group).


The four page long study documents these significant findings, but does not draw any conclusions from them.

The Lancet is financed by the drug companies, who are not interested in this angle: they rather promote vaccination to prevent measles to make profit.

Their latest publicity in May 2014 (injecting high doses of measles virus to destroy cancer) is based on only 2 people and suggests using measles injections to cure cancer; this is their way of thinking.

Taking these benefits of measles into account, why should anyone avoid measles and choose vaccination with several long term side effects (including death) instead?

*Source: “Measles Virus Infection Without Rash In Childhood Is Related To Disease In Adulthood” by Tove Ronne, Dept. of Epidemiology, State Serum Institute, Copenhagen, Denmark
Published in “The Lancet”, Saturday 5 January 1985*



Vaccine-induced Immune Overload now affects majority of US children

Ethan A. Huff

Immunity is crucial. But the artificial kind brought about by vaccines can be extremely damaging, especially when the body's immune response is too strong. A groundbreaking new study published in the peer-reviewed journal *Molecular and Genetic Medicine* highlights the consequences of this vaccine-induced immune overload, stating that the majority of American children now suffer from the often debilitating condition.

Dr. J. Bart Classen, M.D., an immunologist with extensive knowledge about vaccine adverse events, recently investigated the current epidemic of inflammatory diseases among children. Cases of type-1 diabetes, type-2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, autoimmune disorders, asthma and food allergies have been steadily increasing over the years, corresponding directly with an increase in the number of vaccines on the official vaccination schedule for children.

This is not a coincidence, according to Dr. Classen's research, as the immune responses generated by vaccines are known to cause inflammation in many people. When too many vaccines are given at once, or within a very short period of time, the body may experience an immune overload resulting in an

inflammatory response.

"We have been publishing for years that vaccines are causing an epidemic of inflammatory diseases including diabetes, obesity and autism," says Dr. Classen. "However, the number of vaccines given to children has continued to rise to a point where we have reached a state of immune overload in roughly the majority of young U.S. children."

One-size-fits-all vaccines harm many children

By adding a standard amount of fluoride chemicals to public water supplies, the one-size-fits-all vaccine approach is problematic for many children. Each child is inherently unique, which means his or her immune system is also unique. But set vaccine schedules and doses based on age fail to take any of this into account, which ends up overwhelming many children's immune systems.

In order for vaccines to work as intended, explains Dr. Classen, each vaccine dose given at a certain age must generate the appropriate protective immune response in those with the weakest immune systems, and in at least 90 percent of children. But in the process of doing this, a great number of children end

up having their immune systems over-stimulated.

"The process of over stimulating the immune system time and time again increases the risk of inflammatory diseases like autoimmune diseases, and allergies which cause even more inflammation," writes Dr. Classen in his paper. "Inflammation causes the release of cytokines which can trigger autoimmune diseases but also stimulate cortisol production, the major negative feedback loop of the immune system."

Increase in diabetes and pre-diabetes caused by immune over stimulation


It is this steady production of cortisol in response to inflammation that is so problematic. Individuals who suffer from this often tend to develop inflammation-related diseases like type-2 diabetes. They also tend

to be obese and suffer from various symptoms of metabolic syndrome, demonstrating how a vaccine-induced immune response can ultimately trigger these and other diseases.

"The best data indicates that **vaccine** induced chronic disease is now of a magnitude that dwarfs almost all prior poisoning of humans including poisoning from agents like asbestos, low dose radiation, lead and even cigarettes," adds Dr. Classen.

"Most patients don't even realize that they are suffering from the adverse effects of vaccines. Even more concerning is that patients and/or their parents are being harassed and accused of practicing poor dieting and exercise habits, leading to the development of obesity and diabetes, when in fact they suffer from **vaccine induced obesity and diabetes.**" ■ ([NaturalNews](#))

Dr. Andrew Moulden: Every Vaccine Produces Harm



Canadian physician Dr. Andrew Moulden MD, PhD provided clear scientific evidence to prove that every dose of vaccine given to a child or an adult produces harm. The truth that he uncovered was rejected by the conventional medical system and the

pharmaceutical industry. Nevertheless, his warning and his message to America remains as a solid legacy of the man who stood up against big pharma and their program to vaccinate every person on the Earth.

Dr. Moulden died suddenly and unexpectedly in November of 2013 at age 49.

Because of the strong opposition from big pharma concerning Dr. Moulden's research, we became concerned that the name of this brilliant researcher and his life's work had nearly been deleted from the internet. His reputation was being disparaged, and his message of warning and hope was being distorted and buried without a tombstone. This book summarizes his teaching and is a must-read for everyone who wants to learn the "other-side" of the vaccine debate that the mainstream media routinely censors. Available [Amazon Kindle edition](#)

"Vaccine industry money has neutralized virtually all of the checks and balances that once stood between a rapacious pharmaceutical industry and our children." Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [[It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames](http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames)] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at <http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not>



A n n o u n c e m e n t s

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonymessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonymessenger.org/> where this issue as well as past and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

Christian Identity Radio

Program notes and podcast archives are posted at <http://christogenea.org/podcasts>
Program schedules are usually posted at least a few days in advance at <http://christogenea.org/calendar-node-field-eventdate/month>

CHRISTOGENEA INTERNET RADIO 8 pm EST FRIDAY

Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Archives: <http://christogenea.org/podcasts/chr-radio>

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

Biblical, Historical and Social Issues

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Archives: <http://christogenea.org/podcasts/saturdays>

If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Voice/Chat Server see <http://christogenea.net/connect>

RSS feeds for recent programs: <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed> these feeds can also be accessed from iTunes and similar services.

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

A listing of the radio streams can be found at <http://christogenea.org/home/christogenea-internet-radio>

Traditional flash players can also be found at <http://christogenea.org/players.html>
or Search for Christogenea in Winamp or at Shoutcast.com